

Reconstruction of splines from local average samples

G. Pérez-Villalón* and A. Portal†

Abstract

We study the reconstruction of cardinal splines $f(t)$, from their average samples $y_n = f * h(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, when the average function $h(t)$ has support in $[-1/2, 1/2]$. We investigate the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the following problem: for given dates y_n , find a cardinal spline $f(t)$, of a given degree, satisfying $y_n = f * h(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1 Spline interpolation and average sampling

First, let us introduce some notation and recall some of the fundamental results of Schoenberg's magnificent cardinal interpolation spline theory (see [4]).

Let β_d be the cardinal central B-spline of degree d ,

$$\beta_d := \mathcal{X}_{[-1/2, 1/2]} * \dots * \mathcal{X}_{[-1/2, 1/2]} \quad (d + 1 \text{ terms})$$

and let \mathcal{S}_d the space generated by the shift of β_d , i.e. the set of functions $f(t)$ that admit a representation of the form

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \beta_d(t - n)$$

with appropriate coefficients a_n . When d is odd, the space \mathcal{S}_d is the set of cardinal splines of degree d with knot sequence \mathbb{Z} , i.e. the set of functions $f \in C^{d-1}(\mathbb{R})$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f|_{[k, k+1]}$ belongs to Π_d the class of polynomials of degree not exceeding d . When d is even, the knot sequence is $\mathbb{Z} + 1/2$, i.e. $\mathcal{S}_d := \{f \in C^{d-1}(\mathbb{R}) : f|_{[k-1/2, k+1/2]} \in \Pi_d, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

We consider the cardinal spline interpolation problem: Given a sequence of real numbers $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, find a spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_d$ such that

$$f(n) = y_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $d = 1$ the problem has a unique solution, the linear spline obtained by linear interpolation between every pair of consecutive data, but for $d > 1$ it has infinitely many solutions forming a linear manifold in \mathcal{S}_d of dimension $d - 1$ when d is odd, and of dimension d when d is even. Growth conditions give the uniqueness. Specifically, denoting

$$\mathcal{S}_{d, \gamma} := \{f(t) \in \mathcal{S}_d : f(t) = (|t|^\gamma) \text{ as } t \mapsto \pm\infty\}, \quad D_\gamma := \{\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} : y_n = O(|n|^\gamma) \text{ as } n \mapsto \pm\infty\},$$

for $\gamma \geq 0$, Schoenberg proved that for a given sequence of real numbers $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in D_\gamma$, the problem:

$$\text{Find a spline } f \in \mathcal{S}_{d, \gamma} \text{ satisfying } f(n) = y_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

*E-mail: gerardo.perez@upm.es

†E-mail: alberto.portal@upm.es

has a unique solution.

In many applications it is more realistic to assume that the available samples, are not the value of the function at the integers n , but local averages near n ,

$$f * h(n) = \int_{n-1/2}^{n+1/2} f(t) h(n-t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where the average function $h(t)$, with support in $[-1/2, 1/2]$, reflects the characteristic of the acquisition device. Thus regular average sampling has been studied for spaces of cardinal splines and for general shift invariant spaces (see [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 8, 7, 9] and references therein).

In this paper we carry some results of the Shoenberg's cardinal interpolation spline theory over the averaging sampling context. If the average function $h(t)$ verifies

$$\begin{aligned} & \bullet \text{supp } h \subseteq [-1/2, 1/2], \quad h(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ & \bullet 0 < \int_{-1/2}^0 h(t) dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \int_0^{1/2} h(t) dt < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

then, given a sequence of numbers $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, the problem: Find $f \in \mathcal{S}_d$ such that

$$f * h(n) = y_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (2)$$

even in the linear case, has infinitely many solutions forming a linear manifold in \mathcal{S}_d of dimension $d+1$ when d is odd, and of dimension d when d is even (see Lemma 1). Thus, we require growth conditions to get uniqueness. Specifically, in the next section we prove the following result.

Theorem. *In the linear, quadratic, cubic and quartic cases ($d = 1, 2, 3, 4$), and assuming that h satisfies (1), for a given sequence of real numbers $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in D_\gamma$, the problem:*

$$\text{Find a spline } f \in \mathcal{S}_{d,\gamma} \text{ satisfying } f * h(n) = y_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (3)$$

has a unique solution.

We conjecture that the theorem holds for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, but we are unable to prove it.

2 Proof

Lemma 1 *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $h(t)$ verifies the conditions (1). For a given sequence of real numbers $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the problem (2) has infinitely many solutions in \mathcal{S}_d forming a linear manifold of dimension $d+1$ when d is odd, and of dimension d when d is even.*

Proof. When d is odd, the space \mathcal{S}_d can be described as the functions $f(t)$ that admit a representation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) = & P(t) + a_1(t-1)_+^d + a_2(t-2)_+^d + \dots \\ & + a_0(-t)_+^d + a_{-1}(-t-1)_+^d + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with appropriate coefficients a_n , where $t_+ := \max(0, t)$ and $P(t) \in \Pi_d$ (see [4, p.33]). The representation (4) is unique, since $P(t) = f(t)$ in $[0, 1]$ and the coefficient a_n is the value of the jump of the step function $f^{(d)}(t)/d!$ at n , for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, for a fixed polynomial $P(t) \in \Pi_d$, we prove that there exist unique coefficients a_n in (4) such that $f(t)$ satisfies (2). By using (1), we obtain

$$h * f(1) = h * P(1) + a_1 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} h(1-t)(t-1)_+^d dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} h(1-t)(t-1)_+^d dt > 0$$

Hence, there exists a unique a_1 such that $h * f(1) = y_1$. In this way, we can determinate successively and uniquely a_2 such that $h * f(2) = y_2$, a_3 such that $h * f(3) = y_3$, etc. Indeed, assuming that we have determined a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} , and denoting $Q(t) = P(t) + a_1(t-1)_+^d + \dots + a_{n-1}(t - [n-1])_+^d$, we have

$$h * f(n) = h * Q(n) + a_n \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} h(n-t)(t-n)_+^d dt \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} h(n-t)(t-n)_+^d dt > 0$$

and then, there exists a unique coefficient a_n such that $h * f(n) = y_n$.

Likewise, we can determinate successively and uniquely $a_0, a_{-1}, a_{-2}, \dots$ by $h * f(n) = y_n$ for $n = 0, -1, -2, \dots$.

Therefore, for any $P(t) \in \Pi_d$, there exists a unique $f(t)$ satisfying (2). Since $P(t)$ depends linearly on $d+1$ parameters, we can deduce easily that the lemma holds when n is odd.

When d is even, any $f \in \mathcal{S}_d$ can be uniquely represented in the form

$$f(t) = P(t) + b_1(t-1/2)_+^d + b_2(t-3/2)_+^d + \dots \\ + b_{-1}(-t-1/2)_+^d + b_{-2}(-t-3/2)_+^d + \dots,$$

where $P(t) \in \Pi_d$. Since $h * f(0) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(-t)f(t)dt = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(-t)P(t)dt$, the set of polynomials $P(t) \in \Pi_d$ such that the corresponding $f(t)$ satisfy $h * f(0) = y_0$ is a linear manifold of dimension d . Now, we can prove the result similarly that in the odd case. \square

In the proof of the Theorem, the function

$$G_{h,d}(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h * \beta_d(n) z^{-n}$$

plays a principal role. If h satisfies (1), $G_{h,d}(z)$ is a Laurent polynomial and it can be written as

$$G_{h,d}(z) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} h(t) \Upsilon_{z,d}(t) dt, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\Upsilon_{z,d}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n} \beta_d(n-t).$$

The functions $\Upsilon_{z,d}$ are splines of \mathcal{S}_d related to the exponential splines considered in [4]. In the following lemma we collect some properties of these splines.

Lemma 2 For $d \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

(i) $\Upsilon_{z^{-1},d}(-t) = \Upsilon_{z,d}(t)$

(ii) $\Upsilon_{z,d}(t+n) = z^{-n} \Upsilon_{z,d}(t)$

(iii) $\Upsilon'_{z,d+1}(t) = (1-z)\Upsilon_{z,d}(t+1/2)$

(iv) $\Upsilon_{-1,d}(t)$ is even, $\Upsilon_{-1,d}(1/2) = 0$ and $\Upsilon_{-1,d}(t) > 0$ for $t \in (-1/2, 1/2)$

Proof. Since $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n \beta_d(n+t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^n \beta_d(-n-t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n} \beta_d(n-t)$ we have (i), and since $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-k} \beta_d(k - [t+n]) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-k-n} \beta_d(k-t)$ we have (ii). Using that $\beta'_{d+1}(t) = \beta_d(t+1/2) - \beta_d(t-1/2)$ we deduce (iii). From (i), $\Upsilon_{-1,d}(t)$ is even. We have $\Upsilon_{-1,d}(1/2) = \dots + \beta_d(3/2) - \beta_d(1/2) + \beta_d(-1/2) - \beta_d(-3/2) + \dots = 0$. Finally, we prove that $\Upsilon_{-1,d}(t) > 0$ for $t \in (-1/2, 1/2)$ by induction over d . For $d = 1$ it is trivial. Assume that it is true for d . Then $\Upsilon'_{-1,d+1}(t) = 2\Upsilon_{-1,d}(t+1/2) > 0$, $t \in (-1/2, 0)$. Hence, using that $\Upsilon_{-1,d+1}(-1/2) = 0$ and that $\Upsilon_{-1,d+1}$ is even, we deduce that $\Upsilon_{-1,d+1}(t) > 0$ for $t \in (-1/2, 1/2)$. \square

Lemma 3 Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and assume that $h(t)$ verifies (1). If all the roots of $G_{h,d}(z)$ are simple and negative different from -1 , then for a given sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in D_\gamma$, the problem (3) has a unique solution. This solution can be expressed as

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n L_{h,d}(t-n) \quad (6)$$

where the reconstruction spline $L_{h,d}$ is given by

$$L_{h,d}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \beta_d(t-n) \quad (7)$$

and c_n are the coefficients of the expansion $G_{h,d}(z)^{-1} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n z^{-n}$, $|z| = 1$. The reconstruction spline $L_{h,d}$ has exponential decay, i.e. there exists a constant $\mu_{h,d} \in (0,1)$ such that $L_{h,d}(t) = O(\mu_{h,d}^{|t|})$. The series in (6) converges uniformly and absolutely in every finite interval.

Proof. Since $G_{h,d}(z)$ has no zeros on the unit circle $|z| = 1$, the coefficients c_n of the Laurent expansion $C(z) := G_{h,d}^{-1}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n z^{-n}$, $|z| = 1$, have exponential decay, i.e. there exists a constant $\mu_{h,d} \in (0,1)$ such that $c_n = O(\mu_{h,d}^{|n|})$. Hence, since β_d has compact support, $L_{h,d}(t) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n \beta_d(t-n) = O(\mu_{h,d}^{|t|})$.

On the other hand, if $|t| > 2$ and $[t]$ is the integer part of t , we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n|^\gamma \mu_{h,d}^{|t-n|}}{(|t|+1)^\gamma} \leq \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |n|^\gamma \mu_{h,d}^{|[t]-n|-1}}{|[t]|^\gamma} \leq \frac{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (|t|-n)^\gamma \mu_{h,d}^{|n|-1}}{|[t]|^\gamma} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (1+|n|)^\gamma \mu_{h,d}^{|n|-1} < \infty$$

By using this inequality, that $y_n = O(|n|^\gamma)$ as $n \mapsto \pm\infty$ and that $L_{h,d}(t) = O(\mu_{h,d}^{|t|})$, we deduce

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n L_{h,d}(t-n) = O(|t|^\gamma), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

As $|y_n L_{h,d}(t-n)| < K((|n|+1)^\gamma \mu_{h,d}^{|n|-|t|})$ for a big enough constant K , the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n L_{h,d}(t-n)$ converges uniformly and absolutely in every finite interval. Besides,

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n L_{h,d}(t-n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k \beta_d(t-n-k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n c_{k-n} \right] \beta_d(t-k).$$

Therefore $f \in \mathcal{S}_{d,\gamma}$. We denote $g_n := h * \beta_d(n)$. Then $G_{h,d}(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g_n z^{-n}$. Using that $C(z)G_{h,d}(z) = 1$ we obtain

$$h * L_{h,d}(n) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k [h * \beta_d](n-k) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k g_{n-k} = \delta_n,$$

where $\delta_n = 0$, $n \neq 0$, and $\delta_0 = 1$. Hence, $f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n L_{h,d}(t-n)$ satisfies $h * f(n) = y_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $f(t)$ is a solution of the problem (3).

In order to prove the uniqueness, we first find a basis of the linear space

$$\Lambda := \{\xi(t) \in \mathcal{S}_d : h * \xi(n) = 0, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Since Lemma 1, the dimension of Λ is

$$\ell := \begin{cases} d+1, & d \text{ is odd} \\ d, & d \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

We will find ℓ independent functions of Λ , related to the roots of $G_{h,d}(z)$.

First, we see that $G_{h,d}(z)$ has ℓ roots in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\text{supp } \beta_d = \left[-\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{d+1}{2}\right]$ and (1),

$$p(z) := z^{\ell/2} G_{h,d}(z) = h * \beta_d\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right) + h * \beta_d\left(\frac{\ell}{2} - 1\right)z + \dots + h * \beta_d\left(-\frac{\ell}{2}\right)z^\ell$$

is a polynomial of degree ℓ with coefficients strictly positive. It has the same roots of $G_g(z)$, and they are different from zero. Since we have supposed that they are simple, these roots are ℓ .

We denote by z_1, \dots, z_ℓ the ℓ roots of $G_{d,h}$. Using Lemma 2 and (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h * \Upsilon_{z_j^{-1},d}(n) &= \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{z_j^{-1},d}(n-t) dt = z_j^n \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{z_j^{-1},d}(-t) dt \\ &= z_j^n \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{z_j,d}(t) dt = z_j^n G_{d,h}(z_j) = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, j = 1, \dots, \ell. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Upsilon_{z_1^{-1},d}, \Upsilon_{z_2^{-1},d}, \dots, \Upsilon_{z_\ell^{-1},d}$ belong to Λ . Since they are linearly independent, they form a basis of Λ .

Now it is easy to prove the uniqueness. Assume that the splines $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_{d,\gamma}$ satisfy (2). Then $f(t) - g(t) \in \Lambda$, and thus there exist coefficients c_j such that $f(t) - g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} c_j \Upsilon_{z_j^{-1},d}(t)$. Since $z_j \in \mathbb{R}^- \setminus \{-1\}$, $j = 1, \dots, \ell$, and $f(t) - g(t) = O(|t|^\gamma)$, the behavior of $\Upsilon_{z_j^{-1},d}(t)$ at $\pm\infty$ (see Lemma 2 (ii)) shows that $c_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ and then $f = g$. \square

Proof of the Theorem. As a consequence of Lemma 3 is sufficient to prove that for $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$, all the roots of $G_{h,d}(z)$ are simple and negative different from -1 .

The linear and quadratic cases ($d = 1, 2$) are easy to deal with, since, in both cases

$$p(z) = z G_{h,d}(z) = h * \beta_d(-1) z^2 + h * \beta_d(0) z + h * \beta_d(-1)$$

is a polynomial of degree 2. Its principal coefficient is positive and it satisfies $p(0) > 0$. Besides, using (5) and Lemma 2, we obtain $p(-1) = -G_{h,d}(-1) = -\int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{-1,d}(t) dt < 0$, from which the result follows.

In the cubic and quartic cases ($d = 3, 4$) we have

$$p(z) = z^2 G_{h,d}(z) = h * \beta_d(-2) z^4 + h * \beta_d(-1) z^3 + h * \beta_d(0) z^2 + h * \beta_d(1) z + h * \beta_d(2)$$

is a polynomial of degree 4, whose principal coefficient is positive. It satisfies

$$p(0) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p(-1) = G_{h,d}(-1) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{-1,d}(t) dt > 0.$$

Thus, it is sufficient to find $z_0 \in (-1, 0)$ such that

$$\Upsilon_{z_0,d}(t) < 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (-1/2, 1/2). \quad (8)$$

Indeed for such z_0 we would have (see (5) and Lemma 2)

$$\begin{aligned} p(z_0) &= z_0^2 \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{z_0,d}(t) dt < 0, \quad z_0 \in (-1, 0) \\ p(z_0^{-1}) &= z_0^{-2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{z_0^{-1},d}(t) dt = z_0^{-2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} h(t) \Upsilon_{z_0,d}(-t) dt < 0, \quad z_0^{-1} \in (-\infty, -1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Upsilon_{z_0,d}(1/2) = \Upsilon_{z_0,d}(-1/2 + 1) = z_0^{-1} \Upsilon_{z_0,d}(-1/2)$ (see Lemma 2 (ii)) a necessary condition for (8) is $\Upsilon_{z_0,d}(1/2) = 0$. The unique solution of this equation in $(-1, 0)$ is $z_0 = -11 + 2\sqrt{30}$ when $d = 3$ and it is $z_0 = -5 + 2\sqrt{6}$ when $d = 4$. For these values of z_0 it is straightforward to check that (8) is satisfied. \square

3 Conclusions

We have proved that if the condition (see Lemma 3):

All the roots of the Laurent polynomial $G_{h,d}(z)$ are simple and negative different from -1

holds, then, for a given sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in D_\gamma$, the average interpolation problem (3) has a unique solution, given by $f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} y_n L_{h,d}(t - n)$, where the reconstruction spline $L_{h,d}$ are defined by (7). In particular, if $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a bounded sequence, there is a unique bounded spline $f \in \mathcal{S}_d$ satisfying $h * f(n) = y_n$.

We have proved that this condition holds for the cases with more practical interest, $d = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Based on that and on numerical simulations, we conjecture that this condition holds for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$, but we can not find a proof.

Acknowledgments: This work has been supported by the grant MTM2009-08345 from the D.G.I. of the Spanish *Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología*.

References

- [1] I. Djokovic and P. P. Vaidyanathan. Generalized sampling theorems in multiresolution subspaces. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 45(3):583–599, 1997.
- [2] A. G. García, M. J. Muñoz-Bouzo and G. Pérez-Villalón. Regular multivariate sampling and approximation in L^p shift-invariant spaces *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 380 :607–627, 2011.
- [3] S. Kang and K.H. Kwon. Generalized average sampling in shift invariant spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 377 :70–78, 2011.
- [4] I. J. Schoenberg. *Cardinal Spline Interpolation*. SIAM Regional Conference Series in Appl. Math., 1973.
- [5] W. Sun and X. Zhou. Average sampling in spline subspaces. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 15 (12):233–237, 2002.
- [6] W. Sun and X. Zhou. Average sampling in shift invariant subspaces with symmetric averaging functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 287 :279–295, 2003.
- [7] M. Unser and A. Aldroubi. A general sampling theory for nonideal acquisition devices. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 42 (11):2915–2925, 1994.
- [8] M. Unser. Sampling-50 years after Shannon. *Proc. IEEE*, 88 (4):569–587, 2000.
- [9] J. Xian and W. Sun. Local sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces and their applications in spline subspaces. *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization.*, 31(3):366–386, 2010.