

# **Complementary Bases in Symplectic Matrices and a Proof that their Determinant is One**

*Froilán M. Dopico*

**Departamento de Matemáticas  
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid  
Spain**

joint work with

*Charles R. Johnson*

**Department of Mathematics  
The College of William and Mary  
Williamsburg, Virginia, USA**

## Definition and Basic Properties

Let  $I_n$  be the identity matrix and  $J$  be

$$J := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}.$$

**Definition:** A matrix  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  is called **symplectic** if  $S^T J S = J$ .

Symplectic matrices have **applications in linear control theory for discrete-time systems**.

- The product of two symplectic matrices is also symplectic.
- If  $S$  is symplectic then  $S^{-1}$  and  $S^T$  are symplectic.
- If  $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$  then  $\lambda^{-1} \in \sigma(S)$ .

From the definition, it is obvious that  $\det S = \pm 1$ .

**However**, if  $S$  is a symplectic matrix then **always**  $\det S = 1$ .

This is equivalent to the fact that **the algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalues 1 and  $-1$  are both even**.

## No elementary proof available

The fact that  $\det S = 1$  for symplectic matrices has long been known, but no proof seems entirely elementary.

Let us quote, for instance

*“It is somewhat nontrivial to prove that the determinant itself is 1, and we will accomplish this by expressing the condition for a matrix to be symplectic in terms of a differential form....Another proof may be found in Arnold [Mathematical methods in classical mechanics (1978)].”*

from D. McDuff and D. Salamon: *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*, Clarendon Press 1995.

Our purpose is to give a straightforward, matrix theoretic proof that  $\det S = 1$  when  $S$  is symplectic. But in the process, we give some new information about the patterns of linearly independent rows (columns) among the blocks of  $S$ .

## A summary of two classical proofs

**First Proof**, appearing in the books by Arnold, or by R. Abraham and J. Marsden, *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2nd. Edition, Addison-Wesley (1978).

- Use tensor algebra. More precisely antisymmetric tensor products.
- The goal is to prove that every symplectomorphism preserves the volume form.

**Second Proof**, appearing in E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra*, Interscience Publishers (1957).

- Define a *symplectic transvection* as a symplectic matrix  $S$  such that  $Sx = x$  for all the vectors  $x$  in a given hyperplane.
- Any symplectic matrix is a product of symplectic transvections.
- The determinant of any symplectic transvection is one.

## Determinants, Inverses and Schur Complements

For any square partitioned nonsingular matrix  $A$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}}_A = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ A_{21}A_{11}^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix},$$

with  $C = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$ .

Using the previous factorization, it is trivial that

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & C^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

As a consequence:

**Theorem:** Let  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  be conformally partitioned

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If  $A_{11}$  is nonsingular then

$$\det A = \frac{\det A_{11}}{\det B_{22}}.$$

## Block properties of symplectic matrices

**Theorem:** Let  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  be partitioned as

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{21}, S_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

*S is symplectic if and only if*

$$S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{22}^T & -S_{12}^T \\ -S_{21}^T & S_{11}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Proof:** First, consider that  $S$  is symplectic if and only if  $S^{-1} = J^{-1} S^T J = -J S^T J$ , where we have used  $J^{-1} = J^T = -J$ . And then expand the block product of  $-J S^T J$ .

### The easy case: $S_{11}$ nonsingular

$$\det S = \frac{\det S_{11}}{\det S_{11}^T} = 1.$$

This may also be easily obtained if some of the other blocks are nonsingular, by using the block structure of the inverse modulo some permutations.

## The four blocks can be singular

Unfortunately the four blocks of a symplectic matrix may be singular, as the following example shows:

$$\left[ \begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

The notion of **complementary bases** allows us to relax the restriction of at least one block being nonsingular in the previous proof of  $\det S = 1$ , making it entirely general.





## The Complementary Bases Theorem (II)

THERE ARE EIGHT SIMILAR THEOREMS.

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where } S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{21}, S_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

**Theorem:** *Suppose that  $\text{rank}(S_{pq}) = k$ ,  $p, q \in \{1, 2\}$ , and that the rows (columns) of  $S_{pq}$  indexed by  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $|\alpha| = k$ , are linearly independent. Then the rows (columns) of  $S_{p'q}$  ( $S_{pq'}$ ) indexed by  $\alpha'$ , the complement of  $\alpha$ , together with the rows (columns)  $\alpha$  of  $S_{pq}$  constitute a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e., they constitute a nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix.*

Once the result is proven for  $(S_{11}, S_{12})$ , and,  $(S_{12}, S_{11})$ , the other six results are consequence of  $S^T$ ,  $S^{-1}$ , and  $S^{-T}$  being symplectic matrices.

The complementary bases theorem remains valid for COMPLEX symplectic matrices:  $S^* J S = J$ .

## Proof of the Complementary Bases Theorem (I)

Only the result for a maximal linearly independent set of columns of  $S_{11}$  is proven.

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad S_{11}, S_{12}, S_{21}, S_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}.$$

**Step 1:** Select a permutation matrix  $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  to move the maximal linearly independent set of columns of  $S_{11}$  we are considering to the first  $k$  positions using:

$$S' := S \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} P & 0 \\ 0 & P \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{symplectic}} = \begin{bmatrix} S'_{11} & S'_{12} \\ S'_{21} & S'_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{symplectic}}$

**Step 2:** There exists a nonsingular matrix  $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$Y S'_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & Z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Proof of the Complementary Bases Theorem (II)

$$S'' := \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} Y & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{-T} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{symplectic}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} S'_{11} & S'_{12} \\ S'_{21} & S'_{22} \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{symplectic}} = \begin{bmatrix} S''_{11} & S''_{12} \\ S''_{21} & S''_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$S''_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & Z \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S''_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{11} & X_{12} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

**Step 3:** We have to prove that the  $(n - k) \times (n - k)$  matrix  $X_{22}$  is nonsingular. Remember that

$$S''^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S''_{22}{}^T & -S''_{12}{}^T \\ -S''_{21}{}^T & S''_{11}{}^T \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{then}$$

$$0 = (S'' S''^{-1})_{12} = -S''_{11} S''_{12}{}^T + S''_{12} S''_{11}{}^T$$

and this implies

$$X_{21} = -X_{22} Z^T.$$

Finally

$$n - k = \text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} X_{21} & X_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} X_{22} \begin{bmatrix} -Z^T & I \end{bmatrix} = \text{rank} X_{22}$$

## Corollary I: zero columns (rows) in a block

**Corollary:** Suppose that the rows (*columns*) of  $S_{pq}$ ,  $p, q \in \{1, 2\}$ , indexed by  $\beta$ ,  $\beta \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ , are zero. Then the rows (*columns*) of  $S_{p'q}$  ( $S_{pq'}$ ) indexed by  $\beta$  are linearly independent.

**Idea:**

$$S = \left[ \begin{array}{ccccc|ccccc} 0 & a_2 & a_3 & 0 & a_5 & b_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & b_5 \\ \hline & & & & & & & & & \end{array} \right]$$

$S_{21}$   $S_{22}$

$[b_1 \ b_4] \in \mathbb{R}^{5 \times 5}$  are linearly independent

**Corollary II:**  $\det S = 1$  for  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  symplectic (1)

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{22}^T & -S_{12}^T \\ -S_{21}^T & S_{11}^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let us assume  $\text{rank}(S_{11}) = k$  and that the first  $k$  columns of  $S_{11}$  are linearly independent. (Otherwise  $S(P \oplus P)$ , with  $P$  permutation matrix,  $P \oplus P$  symplectic, and  $\det(P \oplus P) = (\det P)^2 = 1$ ). Then

$$[S_{11} \mid S_{12}] = \left[ \underbrace{E_1}_k \mid \underbrace{E_2}_{n-k} \mid \underbrace{F_1}_k \mid \underbrace{F_2}_{n-k} \right]$$

with  $[E_1 \ F_2]$  nonsingular.

Let  $\Pi_j \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  be the matrix that interchanges the column  $j$  of  $S_{11}$  with the column  $j$  of  $S_{12}$ . Then

$$S\Pi_{k+1} \cdots \Pi_n = \left[ \begin{array}{cc|c} E_1 & F_2 & * \\ \hline * & & * \end{array} \right]$$

$$(S\Pi_{k+1} \cdots \Pi_n)^{-1} = \Pi_n \cdots \Pi_{k+1} S^{-1} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|cc} * & & * \\ \hline & E_1^T & \\ * & & -F_2^T \end{array} \right]$$

**Corollary II:**  $\det S = 1$  for  $S \in \mathbb{R}^{2n \times 2n}$  symplectic (2)

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{n-k} \det S &= \det S \Pi_{k+1} \cdots \Pi_n = \frac{\det[E_1 \ F_2]}{\det \begin{bmatrix} E_1^T \\ -F_2^T \end{bmatrix}} \\ &= (-1)^{n-k} \frac{\det[E_1 \ F_2]}{\det[E_1 \ F_2]^T} = (-1)^{n-k} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\det S = 1$$

QED