

# Generalized sampling: from shift-invariant to $U$ -invariant spaces

H. R. Fernández-Morales\*, A. G. García†  
M. A. Hernández-Medina‡ and M. J. Muñoz-Bouzo§

\*† Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Avda. de la Universidad 30, 28911 Leganés-Madrid, Spain.

‡ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, E.T.S.I.T., U.P.M., Avda. Complutense 30, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

§ Departamento de Matemáticas Fundamentales, Facultad de Ciencias, U.N.E.D. Senda del Rey 9, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

## Abstract

The aim of this article is to derive a sampling theory in  $U$ -invariant subspaces of a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  where  $U$  denotes a unitary operator defined on  $\mathcal{H}$ . To this end, we use some special dual frames for  $L^2(0, 1)$ , and the fact that any  $U$ -invariant subspace with stable generator is the image of  $L^2(0, 1)$  by means of a bounded invertible operator. The used mathematical technique mimics some previous sampling work for shift-invariant subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus, sampling frame expansions in  $U$ -invariant spaces are obtained. In order to generalize convolution systems and deal with the time-jitter error in this new setting we consider a continuous group of unitary operators which includes the operator  $U$ .

**Keywords:** Stationary sequences;  $U$ -invariant subspaces; Frames; Dual frames; Time-jitter error; Group of unitary operators; Pseudo-dual frames.

**AMS:** 42C15; 94A20.

## 1 By way of motivation

The aim in this paper is to derive a generalized sampling theory for  $U$ -invariant subspaces of a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $U : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  denotes a unitary operator. The motivation for our work can be found in the generalized sampling problem in shift-invariant subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ; there  $\mathcal{H} := L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $U$  is the shift operator  $T : f(u) \mapsto f(u - 1)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . In that setting, the functions (signals) belong to some

---

\*E-mail:hfernand@math.uc3m.es

†E-mail:agarcia@math.uc3m.es

‡E-mail:miguelangel.hernandez.medina@upm.es

§E-mail:mjmunoz@mat.uned.es

(principal) shift-invariant subspace  $V_\varphi^2 := \overline{\text{span}}_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \{\varphi(u-n), n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where the generator function  $\varphi$  belongs to  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and the sequence  $\{\varphi(u-n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz sequence for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Thus, the shift-invariant space  $V_\varphi^2$  can be described as

$$V_\varphi^2 = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \varphi(u-n) : \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}$$

On the other hand, in many common situations the available data are samples of some filtered versions  $f * h_j$  of the signal  $f$  itself, where the average function  $h_j$  reflects the characteristics of the acquisition device.

For  $s$  convolution systems (linear time-invariant systems or filters in engineering jargon)  $\mathcal{L}_j f := f * h_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , defined on  $V_\varphi^2$ , and assuming also that the sequence of samples

$$\{(\mathcal{L}_j f)(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s},$$

where  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , is available for any  $f$  in  $V_\varphi^2$ , the generalized sampling problem mathematically consists of the stable recovery of any  $f \in V_\varphi^2$  from the above sequence of samples. In other words, it deals with the construction of sampling formulas in  $V_\varphi^2$  having the form

$$f(u) = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{L}_j f)(rm) S_j(u-rm), \quad u \in \mathbb{R},$$

where the sequence of reconstruction functions  $\{S_j(\cdot - rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for the shift-invariant space  $V_\varphi^2$ .

Sampling in shift-invariant spaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  (or  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ), with one or multiple generators, has been profusely treated in the mathematical literature. A few selected references are: [4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 18, 23, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31].

In the present work we provide a generalization of the above problem in the following sense. Let  $U$  be a unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ; for a fixed  $a \in \mathcal{H}$ , consider the closed subspace given by  $\mathcal{A}_a := \overline{\text{span}}\{U^n a, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . In case that the sequence  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$  we have

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n U^n a : \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

In order to generalize convolution systems and mainly to obtain some perturbation results in this new setting, we assume that the operator  $U$  is included in a continuous group of unitary operators  $\{U^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  as  $U := U^1$ . Recall that  $\{U^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a family of unitary operators in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying (see Ref. [2, vol. 2; p. 29]):

- (1)  $U^t U^{t'} = U^{t+t'}$ ,
- (2)  $U^0 = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ ,
- (3)  $\langle U^t x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a continuous function of  $t$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Note that  $(U^t)^{-1} = U^{-t}$ , and since  $(U^t)^* = (U^t)^{-1}$ , we have  $(U^t)^* = U^{-t}$ .

Thus, for  $b \in \mathcal{H}$  we consider the linear operator  $\mathcal{H} \ni x \mapsto \mathcal{L}_b x \in C(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $(\mathcal{L}_b x)(t) := \langle x, U^t b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . These operators  $\mathcal{L}_b$ , which will be called  $U$ -systems, can be seen as a generalization of the convolution systems in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, for the shift operator  $U : f(u) \mapsto f(u - 1)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  we have

$$\langle f, U^t b \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) \overline{b(u-t)} du = (f * h)(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $h(u) := \overline{b(-u)}$ .

Given  $U$ -systems  $\mathcal{L}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , corresponding to  $s$  elements  $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}_j \equiv \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , the generalized regular sampling problem in  $\mathcal{A}_a$  consists of the stable recovery of any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  from the sequence of the samples

$$\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s} \quad \text{where } r \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 1.$$

This  $U$ -sampling problem has been treated, for the first time, in some recent papers [22, 24]. Sampling in shift-invariant subspaces or in modulation-invariant subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  becomes a particular case of  $U$ -sampling associated with the translation operator  $T : f(u) \mapsto f(u - 1)$  or with the modulation operator  $M : f(u) \mapsto e^{2\pi i u} f(u)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  respectively.

In this paper we propose a completely different approach which allows to analyze in depth the  $U$ -sampling problem. In Section 3 we prove the existence of frames in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , having the form  $\{U^{rm} c_j\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , where  $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , such that for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  the sampling expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) U^{rm} c_j \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} \quad (1)$$

holds. To this end, as in the shift-invariant case (see, for instance, Refs. [13, 15]), we use that the above sampling formula is intimately related with some special dual frames in  $L^2(0, 1)$  (see Section 2 below) via the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a} : L^2(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_a$  which maps the orthonormal basis  $\{e^{2\pi i n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $L^2(0, 1)$  onto the Riesz basis  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ . In [24] regular sampling expansions like (1) are obtained by using a completely different technique; basically, they use the cross-covariance function  $R_{a,b_j}(n) := \langle U^n a, b_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  between the sequences  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{U^n b_j\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

Strictly speaking, we do not need the formalism of the continuous group of unitary operators to derive the sampling results in Section 3 since we only use the discrete group  $\{U^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  completely determined by  $U$ . However, for the study, in Section 4, of the time-jitter error in sampling formulas as in (1), the continuous group of unitary operators  $\{U^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  becomes essential. In this case we dispose of a perturbed sequence of samples  $\{(\mathcal{L}_j x)(rm + \epsilon_{mj})\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , with errors  $\epsilon_{mj} \in \mathbb{R}$ , for the recovery of  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ . We prove that, for small enough errors  $\epsilon_{mj}$ , the stable recovery of any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  is still possible. Finally, in Section 5 we deal with the case of multiple stable generators. We only sketch the procedure since it is essentially identical to the one-generator case.

## 2 On sampling in $U$ -invariant subspaces

For a fixed  $a \in \mathcal{H}$ , assume that the sequence  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that a *Riesz basis* in a separable Hilbert space is the image of an orthonormal

basis by means of a bounded invertible operator. Any Riesz basis  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has a unique biorthogonal (dual) Riesz basis  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , i.e.,  $\langle x_n, y_m \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \delta_{n,m}$ , such that the expansions

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, y_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} x_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, x_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} y_n,$$

hold for every  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . We state the definition by considering the integers set  $\mathbb{Z}$  as the index set since throughout the paper most of sequences are indexed in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . A *Riesz sequence* in  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Riesz basis for its closed span (see, for instance, [8]). Thus, the  $U$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{A}_a := \overline{\text{span}}\{U^n a, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n U^n a : \{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

For simplicity and ease of notation we are considering the one-generator setting; as we have already said, the same sampling results for the general case can be obtained by analogy, and it will be drawn in Section 5. The sequence  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an *stationary sequence* since the inner product  $\langle U^n a, U^m a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  depends only on the difference  $n - m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, the *auto-covariance*  $R_a$  of the sequence  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  admits the integral representation

$$R_a(k) := \langle U^k a, a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\theta} d\mu_a(\theta), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

in terms of a positive Borel measure  $\mu_a$  on  $(-\pi, \pi)$  called the *spectral measure* of the sequence (see [19]). This is obtained from the integral representation of the unitary operator  $U$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  (see, for instance, [2, 33]). The spectral measure  $\mu_a$  can be decomposed into an absolute continuous and a singular part as  $d\mu_a(\theta) = \phi_a(\theta)d\theta + d\mu_a^s(\theta)$ . A necessary and sufficient condition in order for the sequence  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to be a Riesz sequence for  $\mathcal{H}$  is given in next theorem in terms of the decomposition of the spectral measure  $\mu_a$ :

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a sequence obtained from a unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with spectral measure  $d\mu_a(\theta) = \phi_a(\theta)d\theta + d\mu_a^s(\theta)$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_a$  be the closed subspace spanned by  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Then the sequence  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  if and only if the singular part  $\mu_a^s \equiv 0$  and*

$$0 < \text{ess inf}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \phi_a(\theta) \leq \text{ess sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \phi_a(\theta) < \infty.$$

Theorem 1 is just the one-generator case ( $L = 1$ ) of Theorem 11 proved below. It is worth to mention that an straightforward computation shows that the dual Riesz basis of  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_a$  is given by  $\{U^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with  $b = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k U^k a \in \mathcal{A}_a$ , where the terms of the sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  are the Fourier coefficients of the function  $1/\phi_a(\theta) \in L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ . Indeed, for  $b = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k U^k a$  in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , the biorthogonality between the sequences  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $\{U^n b\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  means

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m,0} &= \langle U^m a, b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle U^m a, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k U^k a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{b}_k \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(m-k)\theta} \phi_a(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{b}_k e^{-ik\theta} \right) \phi_a(\theta) e^{im\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B(\theta) \phi_a(\theta) e^{-im\theta} d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B(\theta) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} b_k e^{ik\theta}$ ; in other words, we have  $B(\theta)\phi_a(\theta) \equiv 1$  in  $L^2(-\pi, \pi)$ . Moreover, it is easy to deduce that  $\phi_b(\theta) = 1/\phi_a(\theta)$ ,  $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$ ; that is, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  we obtain  $\langle U^k b, b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\theta} \frac{d\theta}{\phi_a(\theta)}$ .

Finally, for the shift operator  $T : f(u) \mapsto f(u - 1)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , Theorem 1 allows to recover the classical necessary and sufficient condition for the sequence  $\{\varphi(t - n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $\varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , to be a Riesz basis for the corresponding shift-invariant subspace  $\mathcal{A}_\varphi$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Indeed, consider the Fourier transform as  $\widehat{\varphi}(\theta) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(t) e^{-it\theta} d\theta$  in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ; using the Parseval's equality one easily gets

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^k \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(u - k) \overline{\varphi(u)} du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{\varphi(u - k)}(\theta) \overline{\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)} d\theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta)|^2 e^{-ik\theta} d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi n)|^2 e^{-ik\theta} d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ik\theta} 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(-\theta + 2\pi n)|^2 d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\phi_\varphi(\theta) = 2\pi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(-\theta + 2\pi n)|^2$ ,  $\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)$ . Thus, Theorem 1 yields the classical condition (see, for instance, [8]):

$$0 < \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi n)|^2 \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\varphi}(\theta + 2\pi n)|^2 < \infty.$$

The following isomorphism between  $L^2(0, 1)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$  will be crucial along this paper:

### The isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$

We define the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$  which maps the orthonormal basis  $\{e^{2\pi i n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $L^2(0, 1)$  onto the Riesz basis  $\{U^n a\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{U,a} : \quad L^2(0, 1) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_a \\ F = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n e^{2\pi i n w} &\longmapsto x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n U^n a. \end{aligned}$$

The following  $U$ -shift property holds: For any  $F \in L^2(0, 1)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,a}(F e^{2\pi i N w}) = U^N(\mathcal{T}_{U,a}F). \quad (2)$$

### The $U$ -systems

For any fixed  $b \in \mathcal{H}$  we define the  $U$ -system  $\mathcal{L}_b$  as the linear operator between  $\mathcal{H}$  and the set  $C(\mathbb{R})$  of the continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\mathcal{H} \ni x \longmapsto \mathcal{L}_b x \in C(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{such that} \quad \mathcal{L}_b x(t) := \langle x, U^t b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , by using the Plancherel equality for the orthonormal basis  $\{e^{2\pi i n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_b x(t) &= \langle x, U^t b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n U^n a, U^t b \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n \overline{\langle U^t b, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}} \\ &= \left\langle F, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^t b, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} = \langle F, K_t \rangle_{L^2(0,1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}F = x$ , and the function

$$K_t(w) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^t b, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a(t-n)} e^{2\pi i n w}$$

belongs to  $L^2(0,1)$  since the sequence  $\{\langle U^t b, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  belongs to  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### An expression for the generalized samples

Suppose that  $s$  vectors  $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , are given and consider their associated  $U$ -systems  $\mathcal{L}_j := \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Our aim is the stable recovery of any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  from the sequence of samples  $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  where  $r \geq 1$ . To this end, first we obtain a suitable expression for the samples. For  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  let  $F \in L^2(0,1)$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}F = x$ ; by using (3), for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) &= \left\langle F, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^{rm} b_j, U^n a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} = \left\langle F, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^k b_j, a \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i (rm-k)w} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \\ &= \left\langle F, \left[ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\langle a, U^k b_j \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}} e^{-2\pi i k w} \right] e^{2\pi i r m w} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the change in the summation's index  $k := rm - n$  has been done. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}_j x(rm) = \left\langle F, \overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad (4)$$

where the function

$$g_j(w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k) e^{2\pi i k w} \quad (5)$$

belongs to  $L^2(0,1)$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

As a consequence of (4), the stable recovery of any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  depends on whether the sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  forms a frame for  $L^2(0,1)$ . Recall that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a *frame* for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  if there exist two constants  $A, B > 0$  (frame bounds) such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle x, x_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfying only the right hand inequality above is said to be a *Bessel sequence* for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Given a frame  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  the representation property of any vector  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  as a series  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_n x_n$  is retained, but, unlike the case of Riesz bases (*exact frames*), the uniqueness of this representation (for *overcomplete frames*) is sacrificed. Suitable frame coefficients  $c_n$  which depend continuously and linearly on  $x$  are obtained by using the dual frames  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , i.e.,  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is another frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, y_n \rangle x_n = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, x_n \rangle y_n$  for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ . For more details on frame theory see Ref. [8].

A deep study of sequences having the form of  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  was done in Refs. [13, 15]. Namely, consider the  $s \times r$  matrix of functions in  $L^2(0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{G}(w) := \begin{bmatrix} g_1(w) & g_1(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & g_1(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \\ g_2(w) & g_2(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & g_2(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_s(w) & g_s(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & g_s(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \end{bmatrix} = \left[ g_j \left( w + \frac{k-1}{r} \right) \right]_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=1,2,\dots,r}} \quad (6)$$

and its related constants

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{w \in (0, 1/r)} \lambda_{\min}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)], \quad \beta_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in (0, 1/r)} \lambda_{\max}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)],$$

where  $\mathbb{G}^*(w)$  denotes the transpose conjugate of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$ , and  $\lambda_{\min}$  (respectively  $\lambda_{\max}$ ) the smallest (respectively the largest) eigenvalue of the positive semidefinite matrix  $\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)$ . Observe that  $0 \leq \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \infty$ . Notice that in the definition of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  we are considering 1-periodic extensions of the involved functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ .

A complete characterization of the sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is given in the next lemma (see [13, Lemma 3] or [15, Lemma 2] for the proof):

**Lemma 2.** *For the functions  $g_j \in L^2(0, 1)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , consider the associated matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  given in (6). Then, the following results hold:*

- (a) *The sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a complete system for  $L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if the rank of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  is  $r$  a.e. in  $(0, 1/r)$ .*
- (b) *The sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Bessel sequence for  $L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if  $g_j \in L^\infty(0, 1)$  (or equivalently  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ ). In this case, the optimal Bessel bound is  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ .*
- (c) *The sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if  $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ . In this case, the optimal frame bounds are  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$  and  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ .*
- (d) *The sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if is a frame and  $s = r$ .*

A comment about Lemma 2 in terms of the average sampling terminology introduced by Aldroubi et al. in [6] is in order. According to [6] we say that

1. The set  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$  is an  $r$ -determining  $U$ -sampler for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  if the only vector  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ , satisfying  $\mathcal{L}_j x(rm) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is  $x = 0$ .
2. The set  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$  is an  $r$ -stable  $U$ -sampler for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  if there exist positive constants  $A$  and  $B$  such that

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{A}_a.$$

Hence, parts (a) and (c) of Lemma 2 can be read, by using (4), as follows:

- i. The set  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$  is an  $r$ -determining  $U$ -sampler for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  if and only if  $\text{rank } \mathbb{G}(w) = r$  a.e. in  $(0, 1)$  (and hence, necessarily,  $s \geq r$ ).
- ii. The set  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$  is an  $r$ -stable  $U$ -sampler for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  if and only if  $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ .

An  $r$ -determining  $U$ -sampler for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  can distinguish between two distinct elements in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , but the recovery, if any, is not necessarily stable. If the system  $\{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots, \mathcal{L}_s\}$  is an  $r$ -stable  $U$ -sampler for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , then any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  can be recovered, in a stable way, from the sequence of generalized samples  $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , where necessarily  $s \geq r$ . Roughly speaking, the operator which maps

$$\mathcal{A}_a \ni x \longmapsto \left\{ \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s} \in \ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z}) := \underbrace{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \dots \times \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}_{(s \text{ times})}$$

has a bounded inverse.

Having in mind (4), from the sequence of samples  $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  we recover  $F \in L^2(0, 1)$ , and by means of the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ , the vector  $x = \mathcal{T}_{U,a} F \in \mathcal{A}_a$ . This will be the main goal in the next section:

### 3 Generalized regular sampling in $\mathcal{A}_a$

Along with the characterization of the sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  as a frame in  $L^2(0, 1)$ , in [13] a family of dual frames are also given: Choose functions  $h_j$  in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , such that

$$[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_s(w)] \mathbb{G}(w) = [1, 0, \dots, 0] \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, 1). \quad (7)$$

It was proven in [13] that the sequence  $\{r h_j(w) e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a dual frame of the sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$ . In other words, taking into account (4), we have for any  $F \in L^2(0, 1)$  the expansion

$$F = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) r h_j(w) e^{2\pi i r m w} \quad \text{in } L^2(0, 1). \quad (8)$$

Concerning to the existence of the functions  $h_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , consider the first row of the  $r \times s$  Moore-Penrose pseudo-inverse  $\mathbb{G}^\dagger(w)$  of  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  given by

$$\mathbb{G}^\dagger(w) := [\mathbb{G}^*(w) \mathbb{G}(w)]^{-1} \mathbb{G}^*(w).$$

Its entries are essentially bounded in  $(0, 1)$  since the functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , and  $\det^{-1} [\mathbb{G}^*(w) \mathbb{G}(w)]$  are essentially bounded in  $(0, 1)$ , and (7) trivially holds. All the possible solutions of (7) are given by the first row of the  $r \times s$  matrices given by

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{U}}(w) := \mathbb{G}^\dagger(w) + \mathbb{U}(w) [\mathbb{I}_s - \mathbb{G}(w) \mathbb{G}^\dagger(w)], \quad (9)$$

where  $\mathbb{U}(w)$  denotes any  $r \times s$  matrix with entries in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$ , and  $\mathbb{I}_s$  is the identity matrix of order  $s$ .



Applying the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$  in (8), for  $x = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}F \in \mathcal{A}_a$  we obtain the sampling expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) \mathcal{T}_{U,a} [rh_j(\cdot) e^{2\pi i r m \cdot}] = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) U^{rm} [\mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j)] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm) U^{rm} c_{j,h} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $c_{j,h} := \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j) \in \mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , and we have used the  $U$ -shift property (2). Besides, the sequence  $\{U^{rm} c_{j,h}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ . In fact, the following result holds:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$  and let  $\mathcal{L}_j$  be its associated  $U$ -system for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Assume that the function  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , given in (5) belongs to  $L^\infty(0, 1)$ ; or equivalently, that  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$  for the associated  $s \times r$  matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

(a)  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ .

(b) *There exists a vector  $[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_s(w)]$  with entries in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$  satisfying*

$$[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_s(w)] \mathbb{G}(w) = [1, 0, \dots, 0] \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, 1).$$

(c) *There exist  $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , such that the sequence  $\{U^{rk} c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , and for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  the expansion*

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) U^{rk} c_j \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \quad (11)$$

*holds.*

(d) *There exists a frame  $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  such that, for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  the expansion*

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) C_{j,k} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H},$$

*holds.*

*Proof.* We have already proved that (a) implies (b) and that (b) implies (c). Obviously, (c) implies (d). As a consequence, we only need to prove that (d) implies (a). Applying the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}$  to the expansion in (d), and taking into account (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1} x = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle F, \overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r k w} \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k}) \quad \text{in } L^2(0, 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the sequence  $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $L^2(0,1)$ . The sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Bessel sequence in  $L^2(0,1)$  since  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ , and satisfying the above expansion in  $L^2(0,1)$ . According to [8, Lemma 5.6.2] the sequences  $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  and  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r k w}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  form a pair of dual frames in  $L^2(0,1)$ ; in particular, by using Lemma 2 we obtain that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$  which concludes the proof.  $\square$

In case the functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , condition (a) in Theorem 3 can be expressed in terms of the rank of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$ ; notice that this occurs, for example, whenever the sequences  $\{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , belong to  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Corollary 4.** *Assume that the 1-periodic extension of the functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , given in (5) are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\text{rank } \mathbb{G}(w) = r$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (ii) *There exist  $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , such that the sequence  $\{U^{rk} c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , and the sampling formula (11) holds for each  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ .*

*Proof.* Whenever the functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the condition  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$  is equivalent to  $\det [\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)] \neq 0$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . Indeed, if  $\det \mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w) > 0$  then the first row of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}^\dagger(w) := [\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)]^{-1}\mathbb{G}^*(w)$ , gives a vector  $[h_1, h_2, \dots, h_s]$  satisfying the statement (b) in Theorem 3 and, as a consequence,  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ . The converse follows from the fact that  $\det [\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)] \geq \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}^r$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since,  $\det [\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)] \neq 0$  is equivalent to  $\text{rank } \mathbb{G}(w) = r$  for all  $w \in \mathbb{R}$ , the result is a consequence of Theorem 3  $\square$

Whenever the sampling period  $r$  equals the number of  $U$ -systems  $s$  we are in the presence of Riesz bases, and there exists a unique sampling expansion in Theorem 3:

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , i.e.,  $r = s$  in Theorem 3. Let  $\mathcal{L}_j$  be its associated  $U$ -system for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Assume that the function  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , given in (5) belongs to  $L^\infty(0,1)$ ; or equivalently,  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$  for the associated  $r \times r$  matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$ . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ .
- (b) *There exists a Riesz basis  $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  such that for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  the expansion*

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) C_{j,k} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H} \quad (12)$$

*holds.*

*In case the equivalent conditions are satisfied, necessarily there exist  $c_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , such that  $C_{j,k} = U^{rk} c_j$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . Moreover, the interpolation property  $\mathcal{L}_j c_j(rk) = \delta_{j,j'} \delta_{k,0}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j, j' = 1, 2, \dots, r$ , holds.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ ; since  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  is a square matrix, this implies that

$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{w \in \mathbb{R}} |\det \mathbb{G}(w)| > 0.$$

Therefore, the first row of  $\mathbb{G}^{-1}(w)$  gives the unique solution  $[h_1(w), h_2(w), \dots, h_r(w)]$  of (7) with  $h_j \in L^\infty(0, 1)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, r$ .

According to Theorem 3, the sequence  $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r} := \{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$ , where  $c_j = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j)$ , satisfies the sampling formula (12). Moreover, the sequence  $\{rh_j(w)e^{2\pi irkw}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r} = \{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(U^{rk}c_j)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  is a frame for  $L^2(0, 1)$ . Since  $r = s$ , according to Lemma 2, it is a Riesz basis. Hence,  $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  and (b) is proved.

Conversely, assume now that  $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  satisfying (12). From the uniqueness of the coefficients in a Riesz basis, we get that the interpolatory condition  $(\mathcal{L}_{j'}C_{j,k})(rk') = \delta_{j,j'}\delta_{k,k'}$  holds for  $j, j' = 1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $k, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}$  is an isomorphism, the sequence  $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(0, 1)$ . Expanding the function  $\overline{g_{j'}(w)}e^{-2\pi irk'w}$  with respect to the dual basis of  $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$ , denoted by  $\{D_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$ , and having in mind (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g_{j'}(w)}e^{2\pi irk'w} &= \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \overline{g_{j'}(\cdot)}e^{2\pi irk'}, \mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k}) \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} D_{j,k}(w) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_{j'}C_{j,k}(rk')} D_{j,k}(w) = D_{j',k'}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)}e^{2\pi irkw}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  is the dual basis of the Riesz basis  $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$ . In particular, it is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(0, 1)$ , which implies, according to Lemma 2, that  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} > 0$ , i.e., condition (a). Moreover, the sequence  $\{\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}(C_{j,k})\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  is necessarily the unique dual basis of the Riesz basis  $\{\overline{g_j(w)}e^{2\pi irkw}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$ . Therefore, this proves the uniqueness of the Riesz basis  $\{C_{j,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,r}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  satisfying (12).  $\square$

### Some comments on the sequence $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$

Concerning Theorem 3, more can be said about the sequence  $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , where the vectors  $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$  define the  $U$ -systems  $\mathcal{L}_j \equiv \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Having in mind (4) and the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ , we obtain that

$$\frac{\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}}{r} \|\mathcal{T}_{U,a}\|^{-2} \|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle x, U^{rk}b_j \rangle|^2 \leq \frac{\beta_{\mathbb{G}}}{r} \|\mathcal{T}_{U,a}^{-1}\|^2 \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{A}_a. \quad (13)$$

- In case that  $b_j \in \mathcal{A}_a$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , we derive that  $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , and it is dual to the frame  $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ . Thus, the sampling expansion (11) is nothing but a frame expansion in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ .
- In case that some  $b_j \notin \mathcal{A}_a$ , the sequence  $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is not contained in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ . However, inequalities (13) hold. Therefore, the sequence  $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$

is a pseudo-dual frame for the frame  $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_a$  (see [20, 21]). Denoting by  $P_{\mathcal{A}_a}$  the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , we derive from (13) that the sequence  $\{P_{\mathcal{A}_a}(U^{rk}b_j)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a dual frame of  $\{U^{rk}c_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_a$ .

- Whenever  $r = s$ , according to the above cases, the sequence  $\{U^{rk}b_j\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Riesz basis or a pseudo-Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ .

## Sampling formulas with prescribed properties

The sampling formula (11) can be thought as a filter-bank. Indeed, assume that for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  we have

$$c_{j,h} = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j) = r \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{h}_j(n) U^n a \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{h}_j(n) = \int_0^1 h_j(w) e^{-2\pi i n w} dw, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Substituting in (11), after the change of summation index  $m := rk + n$  we obtain

$$x = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) \hat{h}_j(m - rk) \right\} U^m a,$$

that is, the relevant data is the output of a filter-bank:

$$\alpha_m := \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} r \mathcal{L}_j x(rk) \hat{h}_j(m - rk), \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

where the input is the given samples and the impulse responses depend on the sampling vectors  $c_{j,h}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . In the oversampling setting, i.e.,  $s > r$ , according to (9) there exist infinitely many sampling vectors  $c_{j,h}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , for which the sampling formula (11) holds. A natural question is whether we can choose the sampling vectors  $c_{j,h}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , with prescribed properties.

For instance, a challenging problem is to ask under what conditions we are in the presence of a FIR (finite impulse response) filter-bank; i.e.,  $c_{j,h} = r \sum_{\text{finite}} \hat{h}_j(n) U^n a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , or equivalently, when the functions  $h_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, s$ , are  $2\pi$ -periodic trigonometric polynomials. Instead, we deal with Laurent polynomials by using the variable  $z = e^{2\pi i w}$ , that is,  $\mathbf{g}_j(z) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k) z^k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . We introduce the  $s \times r$  matrix

$$\mathbf{G}(z) := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1(z) & \mathbf{g}_1(zW) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_1(zW^{r-1}) \\ \mathbf{g}_2(z) & \mathbf{g}_2(zW) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_2(zW^{r-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_s(z) & \mathbf{g}_s(zW) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_s(zW^{r-1}) \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \mathbf{g}_j(zW^k) \right]_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=0,1,\dots,r-1}},$$

where  $W = e^{2\pi i/r}$ . In case the functions  $\mathbf{g}_j(z)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , are Laurent polynomials, the matrix  $\mathbf{G}(z)$  has Laurent polynomials entries. Besides, the relationship  $\mathbb{G}(w) = \mathbf{G}(e^{2\pi i w})$ ,  $w \in (0, 1)$ , holds.

So that, we are interested in finding Laurent polynomials  $\mathbf{h}_j(z)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , satisfying

$$[\mathbf{h}_1(z), \mathbf{h}_2(z), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(z)] \mathbf{G}(z) = [1, 0, \dots, 0].$$

Thus, the trigonometric polynomials  $h_j(w) := \mathbf{h}_j(e^{2\pi i w})$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , satisfy (7), and the corresponding reconstruction vectors  $c_{j,h} = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(rh_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , can be expanded in  $\mathcal{A}_a$  with just a finite number of terms. Namely,

$$c_{j,h} = r \sum_{\text{finite}} \widehat{h}_j(n) U^n a, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{h}_j(z) = \sum_{\text{finite}} \widehat{h}_j(n) z^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

The following result holds:

**Theorem 6.** *Assume that the sequences  $\{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , contain only a finite number of nonzero terms. Then, there exists a vector  $\mathbf{h}(z) := [\mathbf{h}_1(z), \mathbf{h}_2(z), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(z)]$  whose entries are Laurent polynomials, and satisfying  $\mathbf{h}(z) \mathbf{G}(z) = [1, 0, \dots, 0]$  if and only if*

$$\text{rank } \mathbf{G}(z) = r \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* This result is a consequence of the next lemma which proof can be found in [34, Theorems 5.1 and 5.6]:

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}(z)$  be an  $s \times r$  matrix whose entries are Laurent polynomials. Then, there exists an  $r \times s$  matrix  $\mathbf{H}(z)$  whose entries are also Laurent polynomials satisfying  $\mathbf{H}(z)\mathbf{G}(z) = \mathbb{I}_r$  if and only if  $\text{rank } \mathbf{G}(z) = r$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .*

□

Analogously we can consider the case where the coefficients of the reconstruction vectors  $c_{j,h} = r \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{h}_j(n) U^n a$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , have exponential decay, i.e., there exist  $C > 0$  and  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that  $|\widehat{h}_j(n)| \leq Cq^{|n|}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Assuming that the sequences  $\{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , have exponential decay then, we can find reconstruction vectors  $c_{j,h}$  such that the sequences  $\{\widehat{h}_j(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , have exponential decay if and only if  $\text{rank } \mathbf{G}(z) = r$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $|z| = 1$ . For the details, see [16] and references therein.

## 4 Time-jitter error: irregular sampling in $\mathcal{A}_a$

A close look to Section 3 shows that all the regular sampling results have been proved without the formalism of a continuous group of unitary operators  $\{U^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ : we have only used the integer powers  $\{U^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  which are completely determined from the unitary operator  $U$ . However, if we are concerned with the jitter-error in a sampling formula as (11), the group of unitary operators becomes essential. Here, we dispose of a perturbed sequence of samples  $\{(\mathcal{L}_j x)(rm + \epsilon_{mj})\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , with errors  $\epsilon_{mj} \in \mathbb{R}$ , for the recovery of  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ . By using (4) and (3) we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}_j x(rm) = \langle F, \overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w} \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_j x(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) = \langle F, \overline{g_{m,j}(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w} \rangle_{L^2(0,1)},$$

where the functions

$$g_j(w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k) e^{2\pi i k w} \quad \text{and} \quad g_{m,j}(w) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a(k + \epsilon_{mj}) e^{2\pi i k w},$$

belong to  $L^2(0,1)$ . Let  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  be the  $s \times r$  matrix given in (6), associated with the functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . In the case that  $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ , the sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $L^2(0,1)$  with optimal frame bounds  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$  and  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ . Thus, as in [14], we can see the sequence  $\{\overline{g_{m,j}(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $L^2(0,1)$  as a perturbation of the frame  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $L^2(0,1)$ . The following result on frame perturbation, which proof can be found in [8, p. 354] will be used later:

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a frame for the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with frame bounds  $A, B$ , and let  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ . If there exists a constant  $R < A$  such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x_n - y_n, x \rangle|^2 \leq R \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathcal{H},$$

then the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  with bounds  $A(1 - \sqrt{R/A})^2$  and  $B(1 + \sqrt{R/B})^2$ . If the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Riesz basis, then the sequence  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also a Riesz basis.

## The time-jitter error sampling expansion

Given an error sequence  $\epsilon := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , assume that the operator

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\epsilon} : \quad \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow \ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ c = \{c_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} &\longmapsto D_{\epsilon} c := (D_{\epsilon,1} c, \dots, D_{\epsilon,s} c), \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined, where, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ,

$$D_{\epsilon,j} c := \left\{ \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [\mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k + \epsilon_{mj}) - \mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k)] c_k \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (14)$$

The operator norm (it could be infinity) is defined as usual

$$\|D_{\epsilon}\| := \sup_{c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|D_{\epsilon} c\|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}}{\|c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}},$$

where  $\|D_{\epsilon} c\|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^s \|D_{\epsilon,j} c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2$  for each  $c \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Assume that for the functions  $g_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , given in (5) we have  $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ . Let  $\epsilon := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  be an error sequence satisfying the inequality  $\|D_{\epsilon}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ . Then, there exists a frame  $\{C_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  such that, for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$ , the sampling expansion*

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) C_{j,m}^{\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H}, \quad (15)$$

holds. Moreover, when  $r = s$  the sequence  $\{C_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , and the interpolation property  $(\mathcal{L}_l C_{j,n}^{\epsilon})(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) = \delta_{j,l} \delta_{n,m}$  holds.

*Proof.* The sequence  $\{\overline{g_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame (a Riesz basis if  $r = s$ ) for  $L^2(0,1)$  with optimal frame (Riesz) bounds  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$  and  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ . For any  $F(w) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_l e^{2\pi i l w}$  in  $L^2(0,1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \langle \overline{g_{m,j}(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r m \cdot} - \overline{g_j(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r m \cdot}, F(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \langle \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\overline{\mathcal{L}_j a(k + \epsilon_{mj})} - \overline{\mathcal{L}_j a(k)}) e^{2\pi i (rm-k)\cdot}, F(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \langle \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\overline{\mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k + \epsilon_{mj})} - \overline{\mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k)}) e^{2\pi i k \cdot}, F(\cdot) \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} \right|^2 \quad (16) \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^s \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\overline{\mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k + \epsilon_{mj})} - \overline{\mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k)}) \bar{a}_k \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^s \|D_{\epsilon,j} \{a_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 \leq \|D_{\epsilon}\|^2 \|\{a_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 = \|D_{\epsilon}\|^2 \|F\|_{L^2(0,1)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 8 we obtain that the sequence  $\{\overline{g_{m,j}(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $L^2(0,1)$  (a Riesz basis if  $r = s$ ). Let  $\{h_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  be its canonical dual frame. Hence, for any  $F \in L^2(0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^s \langle F(\cdot), \overline{g_{m,j}(\cdot)} e^{2\pi i r m \cdot} \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} h_{j,m}^{\epsilon} \\
&= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^s \mathcal{L}_j x(rm + \epsilon_{mj}) h_{j,m}^{\epsilon} \quad \text{in } L^2(0,1).
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$ , one gets (15), where  $C_{j,m}^{\epsilon} := \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(h_{j,m}^{\epsilon})$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$  is an isomorphism between  $L^2(0,1)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_a$ , the sequence  $\{C_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{A}_a$  (a Riesz basis if  $r = s$ ). The interpolatory property in the case  $r = s$  follows from the uniqueness of the coefficients with respect to a Riesz basis.  $\square$

Sampling formula (15) is useless from a practical point of view: it is impossible to determine the involved frame  $\{C_{j,m}^{\epsilon}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ . As a consequence, in order to recover  $x \in \mathcal{A}_a$  from the sequence of samples  $\{(\mathcal{L}_j x)(rm + \epsilon_{mj})\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  we should implement a frame algorithm in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  (see Ref. [14]); another possibility is given in Ref. [1].

In order to prove the existence of sequences  $\epsilon := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,\dots,s}$  such that  $\|D_{\epsilon}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$  we need some results from the group of unitary operators theory:

### A brief excursion on groups of unitary operators

Let  $\{U^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  denote a continuous *group of unitary operators* in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Classical Stone's theorem [26] assures us the existence of a self-adjoint operator  $T$  (maybe unbounded)

such that  $U^t \equiv e^{itT}$ . This self-adjoint operator  $T$ , defined on the dense domain of  $\mathcal{H}$

$$D_T := \left\{ x \in \mathcal{H} \text{ such that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w^2 d\|E_w x\|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

admits the *spectral representation*  $T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w dE_w$  which means:

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w d\langle E_w x, y \rangle \quad \text{for any } x \in D_T \text{ and } y \in \mathcal{H},$$

where  $\{E_w\}_{w \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the corresponding *resolution of the identity*, i.e., a one-parameter family of projection operators  $E_w$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that

$$(i) \quad E_{-\infty} := \lim_{w \rightarrow -\infty} E_w = O_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad E_{\infty} := \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} E_w = I_{\mathcal{H}},$$

$$(ii) \quad E_{w-} = E_w \quad \text{for any } -\infty < w < \infty,$$

$$(iii) \quad E_u E_v = E_w \quad \text{where } w = \min\{u, v\}.$$

Recall that  $\|E_w x\|^2$  and  $\langle E_w x, y \rangle$ , as functions of  $w$ , have bounded variation and define, respectively, a positive and a complex Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Furthermore, for any  $x \in D_T$  we have that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{U^t x - x}{t} = iTx$  and the operator  $iT$  is said to be the *infinitesimal generator* of the group  $\{U^t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ . For each  $x \in D_T$ ,  $U^t x$  is a continuous differentiable function of  $t$ . Notice that, whenever the self-adjoint operator  $T$  is bounded,  $D_T = \mathcal{H}$  and  $e^{itT}$  can be defined as the usual exponential series; in any case,  $U^t \equiv e^{itT}$  means that

$$\langle U^t x, y \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iwt} d\langle E_w x, y \rangle, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $x \in D_T$  and  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Finally, a comment on the continuity of a group of unitary operators: The group is said to be *strongly continuous* if, for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $U^t x \rightarrow U^{t_0} x$  as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ . If  $\mathcal{H}$  is a separable Hilbert space, strong continuity can be deduced from continuity and even from weak measurability, i.e.,  $\langle U^t x, y \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$  is a Lebesgue measurable function of  $t$  for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ . See, for instance, Refs. [2, 7, 32, 33].

**On the existence of sequences  $\epsilon$  such that  $\|D_{\epsilon}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$**

Assuming that  $b_j \in D_T$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , the functions  $\mathcal{L}_j a(t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , are continuously differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . If, for instance, we demand in addition that, for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , there exists  $\eta_j > 0$  such that

$$(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(t) = O(|t|^{-(1+\eta_j)}) \quad \text{whenever } |t| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (17)$$

then we can find out a finite bound for the norm  $\|D_{\epsilon}\|^2$ . Indeed, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  denote

$$d_{m,k}^{(j)} := \mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k + \epsilon_{m,j}) - \mathcal{L}_j a(rm - k).$$



Taking into account (14), for any sequence  $c = \{c_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_\epsilon c\|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} d_{m,k}^{(j)} c_k \right|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} c_l \bar{d}_{m,k}^{(j)} \bar{c}_k| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_l| |c_k| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l,k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|c_l|^2 + |c_k|^2}{2} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_l|^2 \sum_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}|.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Under the decay conditions (17), for  $|\gamma| \leq 1/2$  we define the continuous functions,

$$M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma)} := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \max_{t \in [k-\gamma, k+\gamma]} |(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(t)|,$$

and

$$N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma)} := \max_{k=0,1,\dots,r-1} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \max_{t \in [rm+k-\gamma, rm+k+\gamma]} |(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(t)|.$$

Notice that  $N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma)} \leq M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma)}$  and for  $r = 1$  the equality holds.

**Theorem 10.** *Given an error sequence  $\epsilon := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,\dots,s}$ , define the constant  $\gamma_j := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\epsilon_{mj}|$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . Then, the inequality*

$$\|D_\epsilon\|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j^2 N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)}$$

holds and, as a consequence, condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j^2 N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} < \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{G}}}{r}$$

ensures the hypothesis  $\|D_\epsilon\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbf{G}}/r$  in Theorem 9.

*Proof.* For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , the mean value theorem gives

$$\sup_{d \in [-\gamma_j, \gamma_j]} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j a(n+d) - \mathcal{L}_j a(n)| \leq \gamma_j M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)}, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\sup_{\substack{k=0,1,\dots,r-1 \\ \{d_n\} \subset [-\gamma_j, \gamma_j]}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\mathcal{L}_j a(rn+k+d_n) - \mathcal{L}_j a(rn+k)| \leq \gamma_j N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)}. \tag{20}$$

Thus, using (19) and (20), inequality (18) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D_\epsilon c\|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_l|^2 \sum_{k,m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)} d_{m,k}^{(j)}| \leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_l|^2 \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |d_{m,l}^{(j)}| \gamma_j M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_l|^2 (\gamma_j)^2 M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} \\
&= \|c\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 \sum_{j=1}^s \gamma_j^2 N_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)} M_{(\mathcal{L}_j a)'(\gamma_j)},
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 5 The case of multiple generators

The case of  $L$  generators can be analogously derived. Indeed, consider the  $U$ -invariant subspace generated by  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_L\} \subset \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}} := \overline{\text{span}}\{U^n a_l, n \in \mathbb{Z}; l = 1, 2, \dots, L\}.$$

Assuming that the sequence  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  is a Riesz sequence in  $\mathcal{H}$ , the  $U$ -invariant subspace  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}} = \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^l U^n a_l : \{\alpha_n^l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}); l = 1, 2, \dots, L \right\}.$$

The sequence  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  can be thought as an  $L$ -dimensional stationary sequence. Its *covariance matrix*  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}(k)$  is the  $L \times L$  matrix

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}(k) := \left[ \langle U^k a_m, a_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right]_{m,n=1,2,\dots,L}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It admits the spectral representation [19]:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{a}}(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\theta} d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The *spectral measure*  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is an  $L \times L$  matrix; its entries are the spectral measures associated with the cross-correlation functions  $R_{m,n}(k) := \langle U^k a_m, a_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$ . It can be decomposed into an absolute continuous part and its singular part. Thus we can write

$$d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) d\theta + d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}^s(\theta).$$

In case that the singular part  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}^s \equiv 0$ , the hermitian  $L \times L$  matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)$  is called the *spectral density* of the sequence  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ . The following theorem holds:

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  be a sequence obtained from a unitary operator in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with spectral measure  $d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) d\theta + d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}^s(\theta)$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  be the closed subspace spanned by  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ . Then the sequence  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  if and only if the singular part  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}^s \equiv 0$  and*

$$0 < \text{ess inf}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \lambda_{\min}[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \leq \text{ess sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \lambda_{\max}[\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] < \infty. \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* For a fixed  $\ell_L^2$ -sequence  $c := \{c_n^l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 &= \sum_{i,j=1}^L \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m^i \bar{c}_n^j \langle U^m a_i, U^n a_j \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^L \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_m^i \bar{c}_n^j \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{im\theta} e^{-in\theta} d\mu_{a_i, a_j}(\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathbf{c}_m e^{im\theta})^\top d\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \bar{\mathbf{c}}_n e^{-in\theta}, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_k = (c_k^1, c_k^2, \dots, c_k^L)^\top$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

First we show that if the measure  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\mathbf{a}$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  then  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, l=1,2,\dots,L}$  is not a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_\mathbf{a}$ . Indeed, if the spectral measure  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_\mathbf{a}$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure then there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, L\}$  such that the positive spectral measure  $\mu_{a_i, a_i}$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure; this comes from the fact that, if any spectral measure in the diagonal  $\mu_{a_j, a_j}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, the same occurs for each measure  $\mu_{a_j, a_k}$  with  $k \neq j$  (see [7, p. 137]). Then,  $\mu_{a_i, a_i}(B) > 0$  for a (Lebesgue) measurable set  $B \subset (-\pi, \pi)$  of Lebesgue measure zero. Bearing in mind that every measurable set is included in a Borel set, actually an intersection of a countable collection of open sets, having the same Lebesgue measure (see [25, p. 63]), we take  $B$  to be a Borel set. Moreover, since every finite Borel measure on  $(-\pi, \pi)$  is inner regular (see [25, p. 340]) we may also assume that  $B$  is a compact set. For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a sequence of disjoint open intervals  $I_j \subset (-\pi, \pi)$  such that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_j) \leq \lambda(B) + \varepsilon = \varepsilon,$$

(see [25, pp. 58 and 42]). Since  $B$  is compact we may take the sequence to be finite. Hence, for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist open disjoint intervals  $I_1^N, I_2^N, \dots, I_{j_N}^N$  in  $(-\pi, \pi)$  such that

$$B \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_N} I_j^N \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \lambda(I_j^N) \leq \frac{1}{3^N}.$$

Besides,  $\sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \mu_{a_i, a_i}(I_j^N) \geq \mu_{a_i, a_i}(B)$ . Consider the function  $g_N: (-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $g_N = 2^{N/2} \chi_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{j_N} I_j^N}$ , that satisfies

$$\|g_N\|_2^2 = 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \lambda(I_j^N) \leq \frac{2^N}{3^N} < 1.$$

We modify and extend each  $g_N$  to obtain a  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $f_N: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f_N$  and its derivative are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\|f_N\|_2^2 \leq 1$  and  $f_N(\theta) = g_N(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_N} I_j^N$ . Let  $\sum_k c_k^N e^{ik\theta}$  be the Fourier series of  $f_N$ . First, by using Parseval's identity we have

$$\|c_k^N\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f_N\|_2^2 \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \quad \text{for every } N \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that  $\{c_k^N\}_{N=1}^{\infty}$  is a bounded sequence in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Besides, the regularity of each  $f_N$  ensures that each Fourier series converges uniformly to  $f_N$ . Therefore each series  $\sum_k c_k^N e^{ik\theta}$  converges to  $f_N$  in  $L^2_{\mu_{a_i, a_i}(-\pi, \pi)}$  and consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_k c_k^N e^{ik\theta} \right\|_{L^2_{\mu_{a_i, a_i}(-\pi, \pi)}}^2 &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f_N|^2 d\mu_{a_i, a_i} \geq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g_N|^2 d\mu_{a_i, a_i} = 2^N \sum_{j=1}^{j_N} \mu_{a_i, a_i}(I_j^N) \\ &\geq 2^N \mu_{a_i, a_i}(B). \end{aligned}$$

For every  $c^N \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  we consider the  $\ell_L^2$ -sequence  $\{c_n^{Nl}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  given by  $c_n^{Ni} = c_n^N$  and  $c_n^{Nl} = 0$  if  $l \neq i$ . Substituting each  $\{c_n^{Nl}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  in (23) we have that

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^{Nl} U^k a_l \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^N e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 d\mu_{a_i, a_i}(\theta)$$

tends to infinity with  $N$ , so  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  cannot be a Bessel sequence, therefore, not a Riesz basis.

For the remainder of the proof we assume that the singular part  $\mu_{\mathbf{a}}^s \equiv 0$  and that  $d\mu_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) = \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)d\theta$ . Then (23) yields that

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_m e^{im\theta} \right)^{\top} \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \overline{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_n e^{in\theta}} d\theta. \quad (24)$$

We have to show that  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  if and only if (22) holds. Rayleigh-Ritz theorem (see [17, p. 176]) provides the inequalities

$$\lambda_{\min}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 \leq \left( \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_m e^{im\theta} \right)^{\top} \Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta) \overline{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_n e^{in\theta}} \leq \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k e^{ik\theta} \right|^2$$

and taking into account (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lambda_{\min}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 d\theta &\leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{c}_k e^{ik\theta} \right|^2 d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \lambda_{\min}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2 &\leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (22) implies that  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Conversely, if  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  then there exist constants  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$  such that

$$A \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 \leq B \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2 \quad (25)$$

for every  $\ell_L^2$ -sequence  $c := \{c_n^l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$ . Let us prove that

$$A \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \lambda_{\min}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi)} \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \leq B. \quad (26)$$

Proceeding by contradiction, if (26) would not hold, then

$$A \leq \lambda_{\min}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \leq \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] \leq B$$

does not hold on a subset of  $(-\pi, \pi)$  with positive Lebesgue measure. In case the set  $\Gamma_B := \{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) : \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] > B\}$  has positive Lebesgue measure we introduce the Fourier expansion of the function  $F \in L_L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  ( $L_L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  denotes the usual product Hilbert space  $L^2(-\pi, \pi) \times \cdots \times L^2(-\pi, \pi)$  ( $L$  times)) in (24), where  $F(\theta) = \mathbf{X}(\theta) \chi_{\Gamma_B}(\theta)$  and  $\mathbf{X}(\theta)$  is an eigenvector of norm 1 associated with the biggest eigenvalue of  $\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)$ . We get

$$\left\| \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} c_k^l U^k a_l \right\|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_B} \lambda_{\max}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] d\theta > \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_B} B d\theta$$

which contradicts the right inequality in (25) for such a Fourier expansion. Whenever Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Gamma_B$  is zero then we proceed in a similar way with the set of positive Lebesgue measure  $\Gamma_A := \{\theta \in (-\pi, \pi) : \lambda_{\min}[\Phi_{\mathbf{a}}(\theta)] < A\}$ .  $\square$

The above proof is similar to that of Lemma 2 in [24], except we do not exclude the case in which the singular measure is atomless. Another characterization for being  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  can be found in [3].

### The resulting regular sampling formulas

As in the one-generator case, the space  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  is the image of the usual product Hilbert space  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  by means of the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}} : L_L^2(0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , which maps the orthonormal basis  $\{e^{-2\pi i n w} \mathbf{e}_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  for  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  (here,  $\{\mathbf{e}_l\}_{l=1}^L$  denotes the canonical basis for  $\mathbb{C}^L$ ) onto the Riesz basis  $\{U^n a_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; l=1,2,\dots,L}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{F} := \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle F_l, e^{2\pi i n \cdot} \rangle_{L^2(0,1)} U^n a_l = \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^l U^n a_l, \quad (27)$$

where  $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_L)^\top \in L_L^2(0, 1)$ .

Here, for  $\mathbf{F} \in L_L^2(0, 1)$  and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  the  $U$ -shift property reads:

$$\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{F} e^{2\pi i N w}) = U^N(\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{F}). \quad (28)$$

Concerning the representation of an  $U$ -system  $\mathcal{L}_b$ , for  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_b x(t) &= \langle x, U^t b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n^l \overline{\langle U^t b, U^n a_l \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^L \left\langle F_l, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle U^t b, U^n a_l \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} e^{2\pi i n w} \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} = \langle \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{K}_t \rangle_{L_L^2(0,1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{U,\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{F} = x$ ,  $\mathbf{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_L)^\top \in L_L^2(0, 1)$ , and the function

$$\mathbf{K}_t(w) := \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a_1(t-n)} e^{2\pi i n w}, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a_2(t-n)} e^{2\pi i n w}, \dots, \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathcal{L}_b a_L(t-n)} e^{2\pi i n w} \right)^\top$$

belongs to  $L_L^2(0, 1)$ . In particular, given  $s$   $U$ -systems  $\mathcal{L}_j := \mathcal{L}_{b_j}$  associated with  $b_j \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , we get the expression for the samples  $\{\mathcal{L}_j x(rm)\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ :

$$\mathcal{L}_j x(rm) = \langle \mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w} \rangle_{L_L^2(0,1)} \quad \text{for } m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } j = 1, 2, \dots, s, \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a} \mathbf{F} = x$  and

$$\mathbf{g}_j(w) := \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a_1(k) e^{2\pi i k w}, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a_2(k) e^{2\pi i k w}, \dots, \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j a_L(k) e^{2\pi i k w} \right)^\top \in L_L^2(0, 1).$$

As in the one-generator case we must study the sequence  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r m w}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  in  $L_L^2(0, 1)$ . Consider the  $s \times rL$  matrix of functions in  $L^2(0, 1)$

$$\mathbb{G}(w) := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{g}_1^\top(w) & \mathbf{g}_1^\top(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_1^\top(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \\ \mathbf{g}_2^\top(w) & \mathbf{g}_2^\top(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_2^\top(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_s^\top(w) & \mathbf{g}_s^\top(w + \frac{1}{r}) & \cdots & \mathbf{g}_s^\top(w + \frac{r-1}{r}) \end{bmatrix} = \left[ \mathbf{g}_j^\top \left( w + \frac{k-1}{r} \right) \right]_{\substack{j=1,2,\dots,s \\ k=1,2,\dots,r}} \quad (30)$$

and its related constants

$$\alpha_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{w \in (0, 1/r)} \lambda_{\min}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)], \quad \beta_{\mathbb{G}} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{w \in (0, 1/r)} \lambda_{\max}[\mathbb{G}^*(w)\mathbb{G}(w)].$$

In [15, Lemma 2] one can find the proof of the following lemma:

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $\mathbf{g}_j$  be in  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and let  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  be its associated matrix given in (30). Then, the following results hold:*

- (a) *The sequence  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a complete system for  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if the rank of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  is  $rL$  a.e. in  $(0, 1/r)$ .*
- (b) *The sequence  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Bessel sequence for  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if  $\mathbf{g}_j \in L_L^\infty(0, 1)$  (or equivalently  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ ). In this case, the optimal Bessel bound is  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ .*
- (c) *The sequence  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if  $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ . In this case, the optimal frame bounds are  $\alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$  and  $\beta_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ .*
- (d) *The sequence  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  if and only if it is a frame and  $s = rL$ .*

In case that the sequence  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $L_L^2(0, 1)$  (here, necessarily  $s \geq rL$ ), a dual frame is given by  $\{r\mathbf{h}_j(w) e^{2\pi i r n w}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , where the functions  $\mathbf{h}_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , form an  $L \times s$  matrix  $\mathbf{h}(w) := [\mathbf{h}_1(w), \mathbf{h}_2(w), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(w)]$  with entries in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$ , and satisfying

$$[\mathbf{h}_1(w), \mathbf{h}_2(w), \dots, \mathbf{h}_s(w)] \mathbb{G}(w) = [\mathbb{I}_L, \mathbb{O}_{L \times (r-1)L}] \quad \text{a.e. in } (0, 1)$$

(see Ref. [15] for the details). That is, the matrix  $\mathbf{h}(w)$  is formed with the first  $L$  rows of a left-inverse of the matrix  $\mathbb{G}(w)$  having essentially bounded entries in  $(0, 1)$ . In other words, all the dual frames of  $\{\overline{\mathbf{g}_j(e^{2\pi i r n w})}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  with the above property are obtained by taking the first  $L$  rows of the  $rL \times s$  matrices given by

$$\mathbb{H}_{\mathbb{U}}(w) := \mathbb{G}^\dagger(w) + \mathbb{U}(w) [\mathbb{I}_s - \mathbb{G}(w)\mathbb{G}^\dagger(w)],$$

where  $\mathbb{U}(w)$  denotes any  $rL \times s$  matrix with entries in  $L^\infty(0, 1)$ .

Thus, any  $\mathbf{F} \in L_L^2(0, 1)$  can be expanded as

$$\mathbf{F} = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathbf{F}, \overline{\mathbf{g}_j(w)} e^{2\pi i r n w} \rangle_{L_L^2(0,1)} r \mathbf{h}_j(w) e^{2\pi i r n w} \quad \text{in } L_L^2(0, 1).$$

Applying the isomorphism  $\mathcal{T}_{U,a}$  and taken into account (29), for each  $x = \mathcal{T}_{U,a} \mathbf{F} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  we get the sampling expansion

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rn) U^{rn} [\mathcal{T}_{U,a}(r \mathbf{h}_j)] = \sum_{j=1}^s \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}_j x(rn) U^{rn} c_{j,\mathbf{h}} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{H},$$

where  $c_{j,\mathbf{h}} = \mathcal{T}_{U,a}(r \mathbf{h}_j) \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , and the sequence  $\{U^{rn} c_{j,\mathbf{h}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$ . Proceeding as in Section 3, it is straightforward to state and prove the corresponding results.

### The time-jitter error sampling formulas

Under appropriate slight changes, the time-jitter error results in Section 4 still remain valid for the case of multiple generators. Namely, given an error sequence  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ , assume that the operator

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} : \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z}) &\longrightarrow \ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z}) \\ \mathbf{c} &\longmapsto D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathbf{c} := (D_{\epsilon,1} \mathbf{c}, \dots, D_{\epsilon,s} \mathbf{c}), \end{aligned}$$

is well-defined, where  $\mathbf{c} := (\{c_k^1\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \{c_k^2\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}, \dots, \{c_k^L\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}) \in \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z})$  and, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ,

$$D_{\epsilon,j} \mathbf{c} := \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [\mathcal{L}_j a_l(rm - k + \epsilon_{mj}) - \mathcal{L}_j a_l(rm - k)] c_k^l \right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

The operator norm (it could be infinity) is defined as usual

$$\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\| := \sup_{\mathbf{c} \in \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z}) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathbf{c}\|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}}{\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z})}},$$

where  $\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \mathbf{c}\|_{\ell_s^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 := \sum_{j=1}^s \|D_{\epsilon,j} \mathbf{c}\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2$  and  $\|\mathbf{c}\|_{\ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z})}^2 = \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k^l|^2$  for each  $\mathbf{c} \in \ell_L^2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Assume that the matrix  $\mathbb{G}$  in (30) satisfies  $0 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}} \leq \beta_{\mathbb{G}} < \infty$ , and let  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  be an error sequence satisfying the inequality  $\|D_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$ . Then, proceeding as in Section 4, there exists a frame  $\{C_{j,m}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$  for  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  such that, for any  $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{a}}$  a sampling formula as in (15) holds.

Now assume that  $b_j \in D_T$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ; thus the functions  $\mathcal{L}_{b_j} a_l(t) \equiv \mathcal{L}_j a_l(t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , are continuously differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Again, as in Section 4, under the decay condition (17) for each  $(\mathcal{L}_j a_l)'(t)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $l = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , one can easily prove that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\gamma_j := \sup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\epsilon_{mj}| < \delta$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , implies that  $\|D_\epsilon\|^2 < \alpha_{\mathbb{G}}/r$  for the error sequence  $\epsilon := \{\epsilon_{mj}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}; j=1,2,\dots,s}$ .

**Acknowledgments:** The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable and constructive comments. This work has been supported by the grant MTM2009–08345 from the Spanish *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación* (MICINN).

## References

- [1] B. Adcock and A. C. Hansen. Stable reconstructions in Hilbert spaces and the resolution of the Gibbs phenomenon. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 32:357–388, 2012.
- [2] N. I. Akhiezer and I. M. Glazman. Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space. Dover Publications, New York, 1993.
- [3] A. Aldroubi. Oblique projections in atomic spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(7):2051–2060, 1996.
- [4] A. Aldroubi. Non-uniform weighted average sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant and wavelet spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 13:151–161, 2002.
- [5] A. Aldroubi and K. Gröchenig. Non-uniform sampling and reconstruction in shift-invariant spaces. *SIAM Rev.*, 43:585–620, 2001.
- [6] A. Aldroubi, Q. Sun and W. S. Tang. Convolution, average sampling, and a Calderon resolution of the identity for shift-invariant spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 11(2):215–244, 2005.
- [7] M. S. Birman and M. Z. Solomjak. Spectral Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space. Springer, New York, 1987.
- [8] O. Christensen. An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases. Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [9] O. Christensen and Y. C. Eldar. Oblique dual frames and shift-invariant spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 17(1):48–68, 2004.
- [10] O. Christensen and Y. C. Eldar. Generalized shift-invariant systems and frames for subspaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 11(3):299–313, 2005.
- [11] I. Djokovic and P. P. Vaidyanathan. Generalized sampling theorems in multiresolution subspaces. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 45:583–599, 1997.



- [12] H. R. Fernández-Morales, A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Generalized sampling in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  shift-invariant subspaces with multiple stable generators. In *Multiscale Signal Analysis and Modeling*, pp. 51–80, Lecture Notes in Electrical Engineering, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [13] A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Dual frames in  $L^2(0, 1)$  connected with generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 20(3):422–433, 2006.
- [14] A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Generalized irregular sampling in shift-invariant spaces. *Int. J. Wavelets Multiresolut. Inf. Process.*, 5(3):369–387, 2007.
- [15] A. G. García, M. A. Hernández-Medina and G. Pérez-Villalón. Generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces with multiple stable generators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 337:69–84, 2008.
- [16] A. G. García and G. Pérez-Villalón. Multivariate generalized sampling in shift-invariant spaces and its approximation properties. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 355:397–413, 2009.
- [17] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [18] S. Kang and K. H. Kwon. Generalized average sampling in shift-invariant spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 377:70–78, 2011.
- [19] A. N. Kolmogorov. Stationary sequences in Hilbert space. *Boll. Moskow. Gos. Univ. Mat.*, 2:1–40, 1941.
- [20] S. Li and H. Ogawa. Pseudo-Duals of frames with applications. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 11:289–304, 2001.
- [21] S. Li and H. Ogawa. Pseudoframes for subspaces with applications. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 10(4):409–431, 2004.
- [22] T. Michaeli, V. Pohl and Y. C. Eldar.  $U$ -invariant sampling: extrapolation and causal interpolation from generalized samples. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 59(5):2085–2100, 2011.
- [23] A. Papoulis. Generalized sampling expansion. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst.*, 24:652–654, 1977.
- [24] V. Pohl and H. Boche.  $U$ -invariant sampling and reconstruction in atomic spaces with multiple generators. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 60(7):3506–3519, 2012.
- [25] H. L. Royden. *Real Analysis*, 3rd ed. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988.
- [26] M. H. Stone. On one-parameter unitary groups in Hilbert spaces. *Ann. Math.*, 33(3):643–648, 1932.

- [27] W. Sun and X. Zhou. Average sampling in shift-invariant subspaces with symmetric averaging functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 287:279–295, 2003.
- [28] M. Unser and A. Aldroubi. A general sampling theory for non ideal acquisition devices. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 42(11):2915–2925, 1994.
- [29] M. Unser and J. Zerubia. A generalized sampling theory without band-limiting constraints. *IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. II*, 45(8):959–969, 1998.
- [30] R. Venkataramani and Y. Bresler. Sampling theorems for uniform and periodic nonuniform MIMO sampling of multiband signals. *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, 51(12):3152–3163, 2003.
- [31] G. G. Walter. A sampling theorem for wavelet subspaces. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 38:881–884, 1992.
- [32] J. Weidmann. *Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces* Springer, New York, 1980.
- [33] K. Yosida. *Functional Analysis*. Springer, New York, 1995.
- [34] J. Zhou. *Multidimensional Multirate Systems Characterization, Design, and Applications*. *PhD dissertation, University of Illinois*, 2005.