



# Sylvester and $\star$ -Sylvester equations: analogies and differences

Fernando de Terán

Departamento de Matemáticas  
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid  
(Spain)

# Collaborators

Co-authors:

- Fernando De Terán
- Froilán M. Dopico
- Nathan Guillery
- Daniel Montealegre
- Nicolás Z. Reyes

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# The equations

Consider the equations:

- $AX + X^*A = 0 \quad A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$
- $AX + X^*B = 0 \quad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$
- $AX + BX^* = 0 \quad A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$

where  $\star$  can be either  $*$  (conjugate transpose) or  $T$  (transpose).

## GOALS:

- Find necessary and sufficient conditions for **consistency**.
- Find the **dimension** of the solution space.
- Find an **expression** for the solution.
- Find necessary and sufficient conditions for **uniqueness** of the solution.

# Motivation

- $AX + X^*A = 0$ : Naturally arises when studying  $\star$ -congruence orbits of  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  [De Terán & Dopico, 2011].
- $AX + X^*B = C$ : Related to block-antidiagonalization of block anti-triangular matrices via  $\star$ -congruence  $\rightsquigarrow$   $\star$ -palindromic eigenvalue problems [Byers & Kressner, 2006], [Kressner, Schröder & Watkins, 2009].

# Some history

$AX + X^{\star}B = C$  ( $\star = T$  or  $*$ )      **★-Sylvester equation**  
(or "Sylvester equation for congruence")

(a) **Sylvester equation:**  $AX - XB = C$  ( $A, B$  must be **square!!**)

- **Solution** known since (at least) the 1950's (**Gantmacher**).
- Characterization of **consistency** and **uniqueness** of solution known for long (**Roth, 1952**, **Gantmacher, 1959**).
- Efficient **algorithm** for the unique solution already known (**Bartels-Stewart, 1971**).

# Some history (II)

$$AX + X^*B = C \quad (\star = T \text{ or } *) \quad \color{red}{\star\text{-Sylvester equation}}$$

(b)  $AX \pm X^*A^* = C, \quad A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}, C \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times m}$ :

- Hodges (1957): Solution over finite fields.
- Taussky-Wielandt (1962): Eigenvalues of  $g(X) = A^T X + X^T A$ .
- Lancaster-Rozsa (1983), Braden (1999): Necessary and sufficient conditions for consistency. Closed-form formula for the solution (using projectors and generalized inverses) and dimension of the solution space.
- Djordjević (2007): Extends Lancaster-Rozsa to  $A, C, X$  bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces (with closed rank).

(c)  $AX + X^*A = C, \quad A, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ :

- Ballantine (1969):  $H = PA + AP^*$ , with  $H$  hermitian and  $A, P$  with certain structure.
- DT-Dopico (2011): Complete solution for  $C = 0$  (explicit except for two particular sub-cases). Related to the theory of (congruence) orbits.
- García-Shoemaker (2013), and Chan-García-García-Shoemaker (2013): Explicit solution for the remaining sub-cases.

## Some history (III)

(d) The  $\star$ -Sylvester equation:  $AX + X^{\star}B = C$ :

- Necessary and sufficient conditions for **consistency**: [Wimmer \(1994\)](#), [Piao-Zhang-Wang \(2007\)](#), involved), [DT-Dopico \(2011\)](#), another proof of Wimmer's, valid for arbitrary fields with  $\text{char} \neq 2$ ).
- Necessary and sufficient conditions for **unique solution**: [Byers-Kressner \(2006\)](#),  $\star = T$ ), [Kressner-Schröder-Watkins \(2009\)](#),  $\star = *$ ).
- **Closed-form formula** for the solution: [Piao-Zhang-Wang \(2007\)](#), involved), [Cvetković-Ilić \(2008\)](#), operators with certain restrictions). In terms of generalized inverses.
- **Complete solution** ( $C = 0$ ): [DT-Dopico-Guillery-Montealegre-Reyes \(2013\)](#).
- **Algorithm** for the (unique) solution: [DT-Dopico \(2011\)](#),  $O(n^3)$ ), [Vorontsov-Ivanov \(2011\)](#), [Chiang-Chu-Lin \(2013\)](#).

(e)  $AX + BX^{\star} = 0$ :

- **Complete solution**: [DT \(2013\)](#).

# Related work

- Dmytryshyn-Kågström-Sergeichuk (2014): Solution of the system
$$\begin{cases} X^T A + AX = 0 \\ X^T B + BX = 0 \end{cases}, \text{with } A, B \text{ skew-symmetric.}$$
- Dmytryshyn-Kågström-Sergeichuk (2014): Solution of the system
$$\begin{cases} X^T A + AX = 0 \\ X^T B + BX = 0 \end{cases}, \text{with } A, B \text{ symmetric.}$$
- Zhou-Lam-Duan (2011): Closed-form formula for the solution of
$$X = AX^*B + C$$

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# Orbit theory

$$XA + AX^* = 0, \quad A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$$

Set:

$$O_c(A) = \{PAP^* : P \text{ nonsingular}\}$$

**★-congruence orbit** of  $A$

(complex manifold if  $\star = T$ , real manifold if  $\star = *$ ).

$$O_s(A) = \{PAP^{-1} : P \text{ nonsingular}\}$$

**Similarity orbit** of  $A$

Then:

$$T_{O_c(A)}(A) = \{XA + AX^* : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\} \quad \text{Tangent space of } O_c(A) \text{ at } A$$

$$T_{O_s(A)}(A) = \{XA - AX : X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}\} \quad \text{Tangent space of } O_s(A) \text{ at } A$$

(a)  $\text{codim } O_c(A) = \text{codim } T_{O_c(A)}(A) = \dim(\text{solution space of } XA + AX^* = 0)$

(complex dimension if  $\star = T$ , real dimension if  $\star = *$ ).

(b)  $\text{codim } O_s(A) = \text{codim } T_{O_s(A)}(A) = \dim(\text{solution space of } XA - AX = 0)$

# Reduction by congruence to anti-triangular form

$$\overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ X^* & I \end{bmatrix}}^P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}^{P^*} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A_{21}X + X^*A_{12} = -A_{22}.$

Application: Anti-triangular form of palindromic pencils  $A + \lambda A^*$ .

(Analogous to:

$$\overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}^P \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & -X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}^{P^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A_{11}X - XA_{22} = A_{12} \rightsquigarrow \text{Sylvester equation})$

# Reduction by congruence to anti-triangular form

$$\overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ X^* & I \end{bmatrix}}^P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}^{P^*} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A_{21}X + X^*A_{12} = -A_{22}.$

Application: Anti-triangular form of palindromic pencils  $A + \lambda A^*$ .

(Analogous to:

$$\overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}^P \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \overbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & -X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}^{P^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A_{11}X - XA_{22} = A_{12} \rightsquigarrow \text{Sylvester equation})$

# Reduction by congruence to anti-triangular form

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ X^* & I \end{bmatrix}}_P \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}_{P^*} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A_{21}X + X^*A_{12} = -A_{22}.$

Application: Anti-triangular form of palindromic pencils  $A + \lambda A^*$ .

(Analogous to:

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}_P \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I & -X \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}}_{P^{-1}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$\Leftrightarrow A_{11}X - XA_{22} = A_{12} \rightsquigarrow \text{Sylvester equation})$

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX - XB = 0$$

$$P^{-1} \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q$$

$$(P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}XQ) - (P^{-1}XQ)(Q^{-1}BQ) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = P^{-1}XQ$$

$$J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$$

$J_M$  = Jordan canonical form of  $M$

- ➊ Solve:  $J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$  (Explicit solution available).
- ➋ Undo:  $X = PYQ^{-1}$

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX - XB = 0$$

$$P^{-1} \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q$$

$$(P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}XQ) - (P^{-1}XQ)(Q^{-1}BQ) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = P^{-1}XQ$$

$$J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$$

$J_M$  = Jordan canonical form of  $M$

- ➊ Solve:  $J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$  (Explicit solution available).
- ➋ Undo:  $X = PYQ^{-1}$

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX - XB = 0$$

$$P^{-1} \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q$$

$$(P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}XQ) - (P^{-1}XQ)(Q^{-1}BQ) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = P^{-1}XQ$$

$$J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$$

$J_M$  = **Jordan canonical form of  $M$**

- ① Solve:  $J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$  (Explicit solution available).
- ② Undo:  $X = PYQ^{-1}$

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX - XB = 0$$

$$P^{-1} \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q$$

$$(P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}XQ) - (P^{-1}XQ)(Q^{-1}BQ) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = P^{-1}XQ$$

$$J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$$

$J_M$  = **Jordan canonical form of  $M$**

- ① Solve:  $J_A Y - Y J_B = 0$  (Explicit solution available).
  
- ② Undo:  $X = PYQ^{-1}$

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Summary

- The solution depends on the **Jordan canonical form (JCF)** of  $A, B$ :  $J_A, J_B$ .
- **Explicit solution** is available (up to the knowledge of the change matrices  $P, Q$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = J_A, Q^{-1}BQ = J_B$ ).
- **Unique solution** if and only if  $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B) = \emptyset$ .

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Summary

- The solution depends on the **Jordan canonical form (JCF)** of  $A, B$ :  $J_A, J_B$ .
- **Explicit solution** is available (up to the knowledge of the change matrices  $P, Q$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = J_A, Q^{-1}BQ = J_B$ ).
- Unique solution if and only if  $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B) = \emptyset$ .

# $AX - XB = 0$ : Summary

- The solution depends on the **Jordan canonical form (JCF)** of  $A, B$ :  $J_A, J_B$ .
- **Explicit solution** is available (up to the knowledge of the change matrices  $P, Q$  such that  $P^{-1}AP = J_A, Q^{-1}BQ = J_B$ ).
- **Unique solution** if and only if  $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B) = \emptyset$ .

# $AX - XB = C$ : consistency

Theorem (Roth, 1952)

$AX - XB = C$  is consistent if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{are similar.}$$

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# $XA + AX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$XA + AX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PXP^{-1})PAP^* + PAP^*(P^{-1}X^*P^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = PXP^{-1}$$

$$YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$$

$C_A = PAP^*$ : canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$

- 1 Solve:  $YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$
- 2 Undo:  $X = P^{-1}YP$

# $XA + AX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$XA + AX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PXP^{-1})PAP^* + PAP^*(P^{-1}X^*P^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = PXP^{-1}$$

$$YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$$

$C_A = PAP^*$ : canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$

- 1 Solve:  $YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$
- 2 Undo:  $X = P^{-1}YP$

# $XA + AX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$XA + AX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PXP^{-1})PAP^* + PAP^*(P^{-1}X^*P^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = PXP^{-1}$$

$$YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$$

$C_A = PAP^*$ : canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$

- 1 Solve:  $YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$
- 2 Undo:  $X = P^{-1}YP$

# $XA + AX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$XA + AX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PXP^{-1})PAP^* + PAP^*(P^{-1}X^*P^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = PXP^{-1}$$

$$YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$$

$C_A = PAP^*$ : canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$

- ① Solve:  $YC_A + C_A Y^* = 0$
- ② Undo:  $X = P^{-1}YP$

# Solution through canonical form for congruence

Canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$  [Horn & Sergeichuk, 2006]:  $PAP^{\star} = C_A$

$C_A = D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_s \rightsquigarrow$  direct sum of three types of blocks (different for  $\star = T$  and  $\star = *$ ).

$XC_A + C_AX^{\star} = 0$  decouples into two kind of equations:

$$(i) \quad XD_i + D_i X^T = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad XD_j + D_j Y^T = 0$$
$$YD_i + D_i X^T = 0$$

We have solved all them, for  $D_i, D_j$  being any possible combination of canonical blocks (**9 different equations**).

# Solution through canonical form for congruence

Canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$  [Horn & Sergeichuk, 2006]:  $PAP^{\star} = C_A$

$C_A = D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_s \rightsquigarrow$  direct sum of three types of blocks (different for  $\star = T$  and  $\star = *$ ).

$XC_A + C_AX^{\star} = 0$  **decouples** into two kind of equations:

$$(i) \quad XD_i + D_i X^T = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad XD_j + D_j Y^T = 0 \\ YD_i + D_i X^T = 0$$

We have solved all them, for  $D_i, D_j$  being any possible combination of canonical blocks (**9 different equations**).

# Solution through canonical form for congruence

Canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$  [Horn & Sergeichuk, 2006]:  $PAP^{\star} = C_A$

$C_A = D_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus D_s \rightsquigarrow$  direct sum of three types of blocks (different for  $\star = T$  and  $\star = *$ ).

$XC_A + C_AX^{\star} = 0$  **decouples** into two kind of equations:

(i)  $XD_i + D_iX^T = 0$

(ii)  $XD_j + D_jY^T = 0$   
 $YD_i + D_iX^T = 0$

We have solved all them, for  $D_i, D_j$  being any possible combination of canonical blocks (**9 different equations**).

# Solution of $XA + AX^* = 0$ : summary

- Depends on the canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$ :  $C_A$ .
- Explicit solution available: up to the knowledge of  $P$  such that  $PAP^* = C_A$ .

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# $AX + X^*B = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX + X^*B = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PAQ)(Q^{-1}XP^*) + (PX^*Q^{-*})(Q^*BP^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = Q^{-1}XP^*$$

$$K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$$

$(K_A, K_B^*) = P(A, B^*)Q$ : Kronecker canonical form (KCF) of  $(A, B^*)$

- ① Solve:  $K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$
- ② Undo:  $X = QYP^{-*}$

# $AX + X^*B = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX + X^*B = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PAQ)(Q^{-1}XP^*) + (PX^*Q^{-*})(Q^*BP^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = Q^{-1}XP^*$$

$$K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$$

$(K_A, K_B^*) = P(A, B^*)Q$ : Kronecker canonical form (KCF) of  $(A, B^*)$

- ① Solve:  $K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$
- ② Undo:  $X = QYP^{-*}$

# $AX + X^*B = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX + X^*B = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PAQ)(Q^{-1}XP^*) + (PX^*Q^{-*})(Q^*BP^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = Q^{-1}XP^*$$

$$K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$$

$(K_A, K_B^*) = P(A, B^*)Q$ : Kronecker canonical form (KCF) of  $(A, B^*)$

- 1 Solve:  $K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$
- 2 Undo:  $X = QYP^{-*}$

# $AX + X^*B = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$$AX + X^*B = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot P^*$$

$$(PAQ)(Q^{-1}XP^*) + (PX^*Q^{-*})(Q^*BP^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = Q^{-1}XP^*$$

$$K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$$

$(K_A, K_B^*) = P(A, B^*)Q$ : Kronecker canonical form (KCF) of  $(A, B^*)$

- ① Solve:  $K_A Y + Y^* K_B = 0$
- ② Undo:  $X = QYP^{-*}$

# Partition into blocks

## Lemma

Let  $E = \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_d)$  and  $F^* = \text{diag}(F_1^*, \dots, F_d^*)$ , and partition  $X = [X_{ij}]_{i,j=1:d}$ . Then

$$EX + X^*F = 0$$

is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} E_i X_{ij} + X_{ji}^* F_j &= 0 \\ E_j X_{ji} + X_{ij}^* F_i &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ .

Note that we have:

$$\begin{aligned} i = j &\rightarrow E_i X_{ii} + X_{ii}^* F_i = 0 && \text{(1 equation)} \\ i \neq j &\rightarrow \begin{cases} E_i X_{ij} + X_{ji}^* F_j = 0 \\ E_j X_{ji} + X_{ij}^* F_i = 0 \end{cases} && \text{(system of 2 equations)} \end{aligned}$$

# Partition into blocks

## Lemma

Let  $E = \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_d)$  and  $F^* = \text{diag}(F_1^*, \dots, F_d^*)$ , and partition  $X = [X_{ij}]_{i,j=1:d}$ . Then

$$EX + X^*F = 0$$

is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{aligned} E_i X_{ij} + X_{ji}^* F_j &= 0 \\ E_j X_{ji} + X_{ij}^* F_i &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ .

Note that we have:

$$\begin{aligned} i = j &\rightarrow E_i X_{ii} + X_{ii}^* F_i = 0 && \text{(1 equation)} \\ i \neq j &\rightarrow \begin{cases} E_i X_{ij} + X_{ji}^* F_j = 0 \\ E_j X_{ji} + X_{ij}^* F_i = 0 \end{cases} && \text{(system of 2 equations)} \end{aligned}$$

# Using the KCF

By particularizing to  $(E, F^*)$  as the KCF of  $(A, B^*)$ , i.e.: direct sum of blocks:

**Type 1:** "finite blocks":  $(J_k(\lambda_i), I_k)$

**Type 2:** "infinite blocks":  $(I_m, J_m(0))$

**Type 3:** "right singular blocks":

$$L_\varepsilon = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\varepsilon \times (\varepsilon+1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\varepsilon \times (\varepsilon+1)} \right)$$

**Type 4:** "left singular blocks":  $L_\eta^T$

we have to solve:

(a)  $EX + X^*F = 0$ , with  $(E, F^*)$  of **type 1–4**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **4 equations**

(b)  $E_i X + Y^* F_j = 0$ ,  $E_j Y + X^* F_i = 0$ , with  $(E_i, F_i^*)$ ,  $(E_j, F_j^*)$  of **type 1–4**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **10 systems**

We get **explicit solutions** for all these equations/systems.

# Using the KCF

By particularizing to  $(E, F^*)$  as the KCF of  $(A, B^*)$ , i.e.: direct sum of blocks:

**Type 1:** "finite blocks":  $(J_k(\lambda_i), I_k)$

**Type 2:** "infinite blocks":  $(I_m, J_m(0))$

**Type 3:** "right singular blocks":

$$L_\varepsilon = \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{\varepsilon \times (\varepsilon+1)}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{\varepsilon \times (\varepsilon+1)} \right)$$

**Type 4:** "left singular blocks":  $L_\eta^T$

we have to solve:

(a)  $EX + X^*F = 0$ , with  $(E, F^*)$  of **type 1–4**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **4 equations**

(b)  $E_i X + Y^* F_j = 0$ ,  $E_j Y + X^* F_i = 0$ , with  $(E_i, F_i^*)$ ,  $(E_j, F_j^*)$  of **type 1–4**  $\rightsquigarrow$  **10 systems**

We get **explicit solutions** for all these equations/systems.

# Solution of $AX + X^*B = 0$ : summary

- Depends on the Kronecker canonical form of  $(A, B^*)$ :  $(K_A, K_B^*)$ .
- Explicit solution available: up to the knowledge of  $P, Q$  such that  $P(A, B^*)Q = (K_A, K_B^*)$ .

# Uniqueness of solution

**Theorem** (Byers-Kressner 2006, Kressner-Schröder-Watkins 2009)

$A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then

$$AX + X^*B = C \quad \text{has a unique solution}$$

if and only if

- (1)  $(A, B^*)$  is regular, and
- (2)  $\star = T$ : If  $\mu \in \sigma(A, B^T) \setminus \{-1\}$ , then  $1/\mu \notin \sigma(A, B^T) \setminus \{-1\}$  and, if  $-1 \in \sigma(A, B^T)$ , then it has algebraic multiplicity one.  
 $\star = *$ : If  $\mu \in \sigma(A, B^*)$ , then  $1/\bar{\mu} \notin \sigma(A, B^*)$ .

# $AX + X^*B = C$ : Consistency

**Theorem** (Wimmer 1994, DT-Dopico 2011)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field with  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times m}$ . Then

$$AX + X^*B = C \quad \text{is consistent}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} C & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{are congruent}$$

(Compare with **Roth's criterion**:

“ $AX - XB = C$  is consistent if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{are similar}")$$

# $AX + X^*B = C$ : Consistency

**Theorem** (Wimmer 1994, DT-Dopico 2011)

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field with  $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times n}$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$ ,  $C \in \mathbb{F}^{m \times m}$ . Then

$$AX + X^*B = C \quad \text{is consistent}$$

if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} C & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A \\ B & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{are congruent}$$

(Compare with **Roth's criterion**:

“ $AX - XB = C$  is consistent if and only if

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{are similar}")$$

# Outline

- 1 Framework
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Classical Sylvester equation
- 4 The equation  $XA + AX^* = 0$
- 5 The equation  $AX + X^*B = C$
- 6 The equation  $AX + BX^* = 0$
- 7 Conclusions and bibliography

# $AX + BX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  (**square** !!)

$$AX + BX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q^*$$

$$(PAQ^{-1})(QXQ^*) + (PBQ^{-1})(QX^*Q^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = QXQ^*$$

$$K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$$

$$(K_A, K_B) = P(A, B)Q^{-1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{KCF}(A, B)$$

① Solve:  $K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$

② Undo:  $X = Q^{-1}YQ^*$

# $AX + BX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  (**square** !!)

$$AX + BX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q^*$$

$$(PAQ^{-1})(QXQ^*) + (PBQ^{-1})(QX^*Q^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = QXQ^*$$

$$K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$$

$$(K_A, K_B) = P(A, B)Q^{-1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{KCF}(A, B)$$

① Solve:  $K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$

② Undo:  $X = Q^{-1}YQ^*$

# $AX + BX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  (**square** !!)

$$AX + BX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q^*$$

$$(PAQ^{-1})(QXQ^*) + (PBQ^{-1})(QX^*Q^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = QXQ^*$$

$$K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$$

$$(K_A, K_B) = P(A, B)Q^{-1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{KCF}(A, B)$$

1 Solve:  $K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$

2 Undo:  $X = Q^{-1}YQ^*$

# $AX + BX^* = 0$ : Resolution procedure

$A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ ,  $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  (**square** !!)

$$AX + BX^* = 0$$

$$P \cdot \downarrow \cdot Q^*$$

$$(PAQ^{-1})(QXQ^*) + (PBQ^{-1})(QX^*Q^*) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Y = QXQ^*$$

$$K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$$

$$(K_A, K_B) = P(A, B)Q^{-1} \rightsquigarrow \mathbf{KCF}(A, B)$$

① Solve:  $K_A Y + K_B Y^* = 0$

② Undo:  $X = Q^{-1} Y Q^*$

# Uniqueness of solution

Theorem (DT, 2013)

$A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ . Then

$$AX + BX^* = C \quad \text{has a unique solution}$$

if and only if

- (1)  $KCF(A, B)$  has no right singular blocks
- (2) If  $\mu \in \sigma(A, B)$ , then  $1/\mu \notin \sigma(A, B) \setminus \{-1\}$ .

# Solution of $AX + BX^* = 0$ : summary

With similar developments as for  $AX + X^*B = 0$ ...

- Depends on the **Kronecker canonical form** of  $(A, B)$ :  $(K_A, K_B)$ .
- **Explicit solution** available: up to the knowledge of  $P, Q$  such that  $P(A, B)Q^{-1} = (K_A, K_B)$ .

# Solution of $AX + BX^* = 0$ : summary

With similar developments as for  $AX + X^*B = 0$ ...

- Depends on the **Kronecker canonical form** of  $(A, B)$ :  $(K_A, K_B)$ .
- **Explicit solution** available: up to the knowledge of  $P, Q$  such that  $P(A, B)Q^{-1} = (K_A, K_B)$ .

☞ Characterization of **consistency**??????

# Solution of $AX + BX^* = 0$ : summary

With similar developments as for  $AX + X^*B = 0$ ...

- Depends on the **Kronecker canonical form** of  $(A, B)$ :  $(K_A, K_B)$ .
- **Explicit solution** available: up to the knowledge of  $P, Q$  such that  $P(A, B)Q^{-1} = (K_A, K_B)$ .

☞ Characterization of **consistency**: **Open problem**

# Conclusions

- To solve  $\mathbf{AX} - \mathbf{XB} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{BX}^* = \mathbf{0}$ : Reduction to canonical form and explicit solution after decoupling through canonical blocks:
  - $\mathbf{AX} - \mathbf{XB} = \mathbf{0}$ : JCF of  $A$  and  $B$ .
  - $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{0}$ : Canonical form for  $\star$ -congruence of  $A$ .
  - $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ : KCF of  $(A, B^*)$ .
  - $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{BX}^* = \mathbf{0}$ : KCF of  $(A, B)$ .
- Consistency of  $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$  vs  $\mathbf{AX} - \mathbf{XB} = \mathbf{C}$ : the role of similarity is now played by congruence.
- Uniqueness of solution: depends on spectral properties of:
  - $\mathbf{AX} - \mathbf{XB}$ :  $A$  and  $B$ .
  - $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{X}^* \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{0}$ : The pencil  $(A, B^*)$
  - $\mathbf{AX} + \mathbf{BX}^* = \mathbf{0}$ : The pencil  $(A, B)$ .

# Some (incomplete) bibliography

-  R. Byers, D. Kressner.  
SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 28 (2006) 326–347
-  F. De Terán.  
Lin. Multilin. Algebra, 61 (2013) 1605–1628
-  F. De Terán, F. M. Dopico.  
Linear Algebra Appl., 434 (2011) 44–67
-  F. De Terán, F. M. Dopico.  
Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 22 (2011) 448–465
-  F. De Terán, F. M. Dopico.  
Electron. J. Linear Algebra, 22 (2011) 849–863
-  F. De Terán, F. M. Dopico, N. Guillory, D. Montealegre, N. Reyes.  
Linear Algebra Appl., 438 (2013) 2817–2860
-  D. Kressner, C. Schröder, D. S. Watkins.  
Numerical Algor., 51 (2009) 209–238
-  F. Piao, Q. Zhang, Z. Wang.  
J. Franklin Inst., 344 (2007) 1056–1062.
-  J. J. Sylvester.  
C. R. Acad. Paris, 99 (1884) 67–71
-  H. K. Wimmer.  
Linear Algebra Appl. 199 (1994) 357–362